Thermodynamic characterization of binary mixtures of poly(propylene glycol) 425 with toluene and o-, m- and p-xylenes

Jelena Vuksanović^a, Danijela Soldatović^b, Ivona Radović^a, Zoran Višak^c and Mirjana Kijevčanin^a

^aFaculty of Technology and Metallurgy, University of Belgrade, Karnegijeva 4, 11120 Belgrade,

Serbia

^bPublic Company Nuclear Facilities of Serbia, 12-14 Mike Petrovića Alasa, Vinča, 11351

Belgrade, Serbia

^cSchool of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Aston University, Birmingham, B4 7ET, United

Kingdom

* Corresponding author: Phone: +381 11 3370523; fax: +381 11 3370387. E-mail address: <u>mirjana@tmf.bg.ac.rs</u>

Abstract

Density ρ , viscosity η and refractive index n_D have been experimentally measured for four binary mixtures of poly(propylene glycol) of average molecular weight 425 + toluene / o-xylene / m-xylene / p-xylene over the temperature range T = (293.15 to 333.15) K with temperature step 5 K and at atmospheric pressure. From the experimental data excess molar volumes V^{E} , deviations in refractive index Δn_D , viscosity deviations $\Delta \eta$ and excess Gibbs free energy of activation of viscous flow $\Delta G^{*\text{E}}$ were calculated and fitted with Redlich-Kister polynomial in order to analyze non-ideal behavior of the studied mixtures. From ΔG^* values excess entropy $\Delta S^{*\text{E}}$ and excess enthalpy of activation of viscous flow $\Delta H^{*\text{E}}$ were also calculated. It was shown that specific weak, but numerous, attractive interactions and entropic effect, due to difference in size of the pure component molecules, are responsible for volumetric and viscosity behaviour of the studied systems. The viscosities of the studied systems were predicted with the UNIFAC-VISCO and ASOG-VISCO models and correlated with the McAllister equation.

Keywords: density, viscosity, refractive index, excess Gibbs free energy of activation of viscous flow, viscosity modeling

1. Introduction

Poly(propylene glycol) (PPG) is approved for its use as a solvent while meeting Safer Choice VOC criteria, defined by the US EPA Safer Chemical Ingredients List. PPG, along with the poly(ethylene glycol (PEG), has low toxicity, low volatility and reasonable biodegradability [1]. Thus, it is widely used as an antifoaming agent [2], in preparation of resins [3] or soft drinks [4]. PPG is structurally very similar to PEG, but, unlike PEG, it is liquid at ambient temperature in a wide range of average molecular mass (Mw) (even for Mw = 4000 it is a viscous liquid at 20°C). Also, while liquid PEG (Mw=400) (PEG400) is practically completely soluble in water, liquid PPG425 is not, showing immiscibility as temperature is increased to approximately 50°C (Lower-Critical-Solution-Temperature (LCST) liquid-liquid phase behavior) [5]. Both PEG and PPG polymers are i) highly polar - dipole moments of PEG400 and PPG400 are 3.8D and 3.6D, respectively [6] and ii) promote hydrogen bonding (hydrogen bond basicity of PEG400 and PPG1200 is 0.65 [7] and 0.67 [8], respectively). However, PPG and PEG exhibit another, quite interesting phenomenon – they adjust the structure (conformation) of their segments to the polarity of the solvent – thus, in non-polar solvents, random non-polar structure dominates [9]. This (unexpected) behavior significantly extends solvent abilities of these polymers. Thus, PEG of low average molecular mass shows either very good or even complete miscibility with non-polar arenes [10,11]. Also, the solubility tests, performed within this study, showed that low average molecular mass poly(propylene glycol) (Mw = 425) (PPG425) exhibits complete solubility with toluene and three xylene isomers, which is certainly strongly influenced by the aforesaid phenomenon of the polar to non-polar configuration change in the polymer.

Our previous studies were related to low molecular weight PEG as alternative solvent for several aromatic compounds, widely applied in process industry [12-15]. The aim of this work is to measure and analyze thermodynamic properties - density, viscosity and refractive indices - of the PPG425 mixtures with common toxic industrial aromatic solvents – toluene and o-, m-, p-xylene. The data obtained will be useful in future consideration of PPG425 application as "green" solvent for reducing the contents of these toxics in industrial effluents.

Therefore, in this work, experimental densities ρ , refractive indices n_D and dynamic viscosities η are reported for four binary mixtures (PPG 425 + toluene / o-xylene / m-xylene / p-xylene) in the temperature range T= (288.15 - 333.15) K, with a temperature step 5 K and at pressure of 0.1MPa. Excess molar volumes V^{E} , deviations in refractive index Δn_D , viscosity deviations $\Delta \eta$, and excess Gibbs free energy of activation of viscous flow $\Delta G^{*\text{E}}$ were calculated and correlated by the Redlich-Kister equation [16]. From ΔG^* values excess entropy $\Delta S^{*\text{E}}$ and excess enthalpy of activation of viscous flow $\Delta H^{*\text{E}}$ were also calculated.

The predictive UNIFAC-VISCO [17,18] and ASOG-VISCO [19] models were used for modelling the viscosity of the investigated binary mixtures. The viscosity data were also correlated by McAllister [20] equations.

2. Experimental methods

2.1.Chemicals

All the details regarding the chemicals used in this work: PEG425, toluene and xylenes isomers are summarized in Table 1. The chemicals were kept in dark bottles, in an inert atmosphere and ultrasonically degassed before sample preparations.

Chemical Name	Source	Initial mass fraction purity
Poly(propylene glycol) 425	Acros Organics	
Toluene	Merck	0.995
o-Xylene	Acros Organics	0.99
m-Xylene	Acros Organics	0.99
p-Xylene	Acros Organics	0.99

 Table 1 – Sample Description

2.2.Measurements

Density ρ was measured with an Anton Paar DMA 5000 digital vibrating U-tube densimeter, refractive index n_D using an automatic Anton Paar RXA 156 refractometer and viscosity η using a digital Stabinger viscometer (model SVM 3000/G2). Description of the apparatus is explained in detail in our previous work [12].

A Mettler AG 204 balance with a precision $1 \cdot 10^{-7}$ kg was used for mass composition determination of all the mixtures herein. The uncertainty of the mole fraction calculation was less than $\pm 1 \cdot 10^{-4}$. Density measurements were performed with the experimental repeatability $\pm 8 \cdot 10^{-2}$ kg·m⁻³, and combined expanded uncertainty, including the influence of sample purity within ± 1 kg·m⁻³, with a 0.95 level of confidence. The uncertainty of the refractive index data measurements is ± 0.0002 units. The relative uncertainty in dynamic viscosity measurements was estimated to be $\pm 2\%$. Disagreements between experimental and literature values for pure components are presented in Figures 1-3, at each temperature. Slightly higher viscosities and lower refractive indices for the aromatic compounds, compared to literature values, are probably due to their water content, ranging from 0.035% to 0.04% by mass, since water has higher viscosity but lower refractive index than the aromatics. However, to the best of our knowledge this should not affect the calculated deviations in viscosity or in refractive indices of these compounds, since the impact of water cancels in the calculations.





Figure 1 - Comparison of experimental densities with available literature data for: (a) toluene: (♦) exp; (♠) [21]; (△)[22]; (▲)[23]; (○) [24]; (●) [25]; (b) o-xylene: (♦) exp; (♠) [26]; (△) [27]; (▲) [28]; (○) [29]; (●) [30]; (□) [31]; (■) [32]; (★) [33]; (★) [34]; (c) m-xylene: (♦) exp; (♠) [27]; (△) [35]; (▲) [33]; (○) [30]; (●) [26]; (□) [36]; (■) [37]; (★) [38]; (★) [39]; (d) p-xylene: (♦) exp; (♠) [26]; (▲) [36]; (○) [30]; (●) [31]; (□) [32]; (■) [40]; (★) [41]; (★) [42]; (e) PPG425: (♦) exp; (♠) [43]; (△) [44]; (▲) [45]



Figure 2 - Comparison of experimental refractive indices with available literature data for: (a) toluene: (♦) exp; (♦) [46]; (△) [32]; (▲) [47]; (○) [48]; (●) [49]; (b) o-xylene: (♦) exp; (♦) [50]; (△) [28]; (▲) [51]; (○) [32]; (●) [52]; (□) [35]; (■) [38]; (c) m-xylene: (♦) exp; (♦) [27]; (△) [35]; (▲) [48]; (○) [32]; (●) [51]; (□) [53]; (■) [28]; (★) [54]; (★) [55]; (d) p-xylene: (♦) exp; (♦) [50]; (△) [28]; (▲) [51]; (○) [32]; (●) [27]; (□) [28]; (■) [56]



Figure 3 - Comparison of experimental viscosities with available literature data for: (a) toluene: (♦) exp; (♦) [57]; (Δ) [58]; (▲) [59]; (○)[60]; (●)[34]; (b) o-xylene: (♦) exp; (♦) [50]; (Δ) [37]; (▲) [61]; (○) [62]; (●) [63]; (□) [42]; (■) [64]; (☆) [65]; (★) [28]; (c) m-xylene: (♦) exp; (♦) [66]; (Δ) [37]; (▲) [53]; (○) [28]; (●) [50]; (□) [31]; (■) [34]; (☆) [67]; (★) [64]; (d) p-xylene: (♦) exp; (♦) [28]; (Δ) [37]; (▲) [62]; (○) [31]; (●) [68]; (□) [34]; (■) [42]; (☆) [40]

3. Results

Experimental data for densities, refractive indices and viscosities as well as the calculated values of excess molar volume, deviation in refractive index, viscosity deviation and excess Gibbs free energy of activation of viscous flow for four investigated binary mixtures were determined in the temperature range T = (288.15 to 333.15) K and at 0.1 MPa, with a temperature step of 5 K. Results are presented in Table S1 (Supplementary material).

Table S1

The excess molar volumes V^{E} were calculated from the density data using the following equation:

$$V^{\rm E} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i M_i \left[\left(\frac{1}{\rho} \right) - \left(\frac{1}{\rho_i} \right) \right]$$
(1)

where x_i is the mole fraction of component *i* in the mixture; M_i its molecular weight and ρ and ρ_i are the measured densities of a mixture and a pure component *i*, respectively. The deviations in refractive index Δn_D were calculated from the equation:

$$\Delta n_D = n_D - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i n_{Di} \tag{2}$$

where n_D and n_{Di} refer to the refractive index of a mixture and a pure component *i*, respectively. In all the above given equations *n* denotes the number of components.

The viscosity deviations $\Delta \eta$ were calculated from the viscosity of the mixture η and pure component *i*, η_i according to the equation:

$$\Delta \eta = \eta - \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \eta_i \tag{3}$$

Excess Gibbs free energy of activation of viscous flow ΔG^{*E} is calculated from the following equation:

$$\Delta G^{*E} = RT[\frac{\ln \eta V}{\eta_2 V_2} - \frac{x_1 \ln(\eta_1 V_1)}{\eta_2 V_2}]$$
(4)

where η , V, η_1 , η_2 , V_1 and V_2 represent viscosity of solution, its molar volume, viscosity of component 1, viscosity of component 2, molar volume of component 1 and molar volume of component 2, respectively.

Excess molar volumes V^{E} , deviations in refractive index Δn_{D} , viscosity deviations $\Delta \eta$ and excess Gibbs free energy of activation of viscous flow ΔG^{*E} were correlated with the Redlich–Kister (RK) equation [16]:

$$Y = x_i x_j \sum_{p=0}^{k} A_p \left(2 x_i - 1 \right)^p$$
(5)

where *Y* represents V^{E} , Δn_D , $\Delta \eta$ or $\Delta G^{*\text{E}}$ of the mixture, A_p are fitting parameters, and k + 1 is the number of parameters, which was optimized using the F-test.

The fitting parameters and the corresponding root-mean-square deviations (rmsd) σ , defined by:

$$\sigma = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(Y_{\exp} - Y_{cal}\right)^2 / m\right)^{1/2}$$
(6)

are given in Table S2 (Supplementary material), for V^{E} , Δn_{D} , $\Delta \eta$ or ΔG^{*E} , where *m* is the number of experimental data points.

Table S2

Excess molar volumes (V^{E}), deviations in viscosity ($\Delta \eta$) and in refractive indices (Δn_{D}) are graphically presented in Figures 4-6, at several selected isotherms, for the purpose of good visibility. For the same reason, excess Gibbs free energies of activation of viscous flow (ΔG^{*E}) are given only at a single isotherm, in Figure 7.



Figure 4 - Experimental deviations of excess molar volume $V^{\mathbb{E}}$ for the systems with: (a) toluene; (b) oxylene; (c) m-xylene; (d) p-xylene; where x_1 denotes mole fraction of PEGDA 425 at following temperatures: (\diamondsuit) 288.15 K, (\blacklozenge) 298.15 K, (\bigcirc) 308.15 K, (\blacksquare) 333.15 K, (\frown) RK equation

From Figure 4 it can be observed that for all the systems, except for that with toluene, excess molar volumes change sign. In particular, V^{E} - composition curves of the systems PPG 425 + / m-xylene / or p-xylene change sign from positive to negative, going to higher PPG 425 mole fraction. PPG 425 + o-xylene curve is changing sign twice – positive to negative to positive – going to higher PPG 425 mole fractions. The highest absolute V^{E} values are obtained for the system with toluene,

while the lowest are obtained for the system with m-xylene. Test measurements, using the solutions from different batches, confirmed the observed behavior.



Figure 5 - Experimental deviations in refractive indices Δn_D for the systems with: (a) toluene; (b) o-xylene; (c) m-xylene; (d) p-xylene; where x_1 denotes mole fraction of PEGDA 425 at following temperatures: (\diamond) 288.15 K, (\blacklozenge) 298.15 K, (\bigcirc) 308.15 K, (\bigcirc) 318.15 K, (\square) 328.15 K (------) RK equation

The composition curves of the deviations in refractive indices, shown in Figure 5, are slightly asymmetrical in all the cases (minimum at around $x_1=0.3$). Absolute Δn_D values decrease as temperature is raised for all the studied systems.



Figure 6 - Experimental deviations in dynamic viscosities $\Delta \eta$ for the systems with: (a) toluene; (b) o-xylene; (c) m-xylene; (d) p-xylene; where x_1 denotes mole fraction of PEGDA 425 at following temperatures: (\diamond) 288.15 K, (\diamond) 293.15 K, (\circ) 298.15 K, (\diamond) 303.15 K, (Δ) 308.15 K, (Δ) 313.15 K, (\Box) 318.15 K, (\blacksquare) 323.15 K, (\bigstar) 328.15 K, (\bigstar) 333.15 K, (\frown) RK equation

Figure 6 shows negative deviations in dynamic viscosity for all the studied systems. $\Delta \eta$ - composition curves are fairly symmetrical for all the systems. Absolute $\Delta \eta$ values decrease as temperature increases, and this trend is more pronounced at lower temperatures. Viscosity deviations have high values in all the cases.



Figure 7 - Experimental deviations of Gibbs free energy of activation of viscous flow ΔG^{E^*} for the systems with: (O) toluene; (Δ) o-xylene; (\Box) m-xylene; (\bigstar) p-xylene; at 308.15 K where x_1 denotes mole fraction of PEGDA 425, (\longrightarrow) RK equation

Figure 7 depicts excess Gibbs free energy of activation of viscous flow ΔG^{*E} at 308.15 K. Positive ΔG^{*E} values were obtained for all the systems.

4. Discussion

Non-ideal behavior of the binary mixtures of PPG 425 with toluene and three xylene isomers can be interpreted analyzing the calculated thermodynamic excess properties or deviations, taking into account some of the pure component properties, major interactions occurring between unlike and like molecules and their interplay, following the usual procedure applied in literature, as we have reviewed earlier [69].

Table 2 presents pure components' properties interesting for the present study: Kamlet-Taft parameters - hydrogen bond acidity and basicity (α and β , respectively), dipole moments (μ) and dielectric constants (ε).

Chemical	α	β	μ/D	ε
Toluene	0.00^{b}	0.11 ^b	0.36 ^c	2.3536 ^f
o-Xylene	0.00^{b}	0.12 ^b	0.62 ^c	2.4150^{f}
m-Xylene	0.00^{b}	0.12 ^b	0.30 ^c	2.3442^{f}
p-Xylene	0.00^{b}	0.12 ^b	0.00°	2.2447^{f}
PPG1200 ^a	-0.03 ^d	0.67 ^d	-	-
PPG400	-	-	3.5 ^e	8.86 ^e

Table 2 - The Kamlet-Taft parameters (hydrogen bond acidity α and basicity β), dipole moments (μ) and dielectric constants (ε) for the studied pure components

^a There are no available literature data for the Kamlet-Taft parameters for PPG 425; ^b [70], ^c [71], ^d[8], ^e[6], ^f[72]

Table 2 shows that all of the pure compounds are hydrogen bond acceptors, with neither one of them being a donor. Dipole moments of the studied aromatics are either low or zero, having the dielectric constants lower than 5 - thus, they can all be considered as non-polar [73]. In PPG, similarly to poly(ethylene glycol), once it is dissolved in non-polar organic solvents, the non-polar random structure of low internal energy would dominate [9]. Therefore, it can be concluded that strong attractive interactions - hydrogen bonds and dipole-dipole interactions - are not the type existing in the currently studied systems. However, as in the case of PEG solutions in benzene, randomness of the non-polar structure and the number of conformations facilitate weak but numerous specific attractive interactions with non-polar solvent [74]. This is in agreement with the excess molar volumes obtained herein, that change from only slightly negative to moderately negative (at 298.15 K, V^{E} is in the range of ~ -0.10 \cdot 10⁻⁶ m³·mol⁻¹ (system with m-xylene) to ~ - $0.5 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ (system with toluene)). At higher temperatures the non-polar conformation dominates and the interactions with non-polar solvents are facilitated [75], providing more negative excess molar volumes, which explains their temperature trend shown in Figure 4. Deviations in viscosity and the related excess Gibbs free energy of activation of viscous flow (ΔG^{*E}) show highly negative or positive values, respectively. Fort and Moore [76] suggested that

one of the reasons for the negative viscosity deviations is the presence of weak attractive interactions (they stated dispersion forces) between the unlike compounds, which is quite similar with the case of the interactions we have in the present case (vide supra). Meyer et al. [77]

proposed that it is the ΔG^{*E} values that should be analyzed related to molecular interactions, since they take into account both volumetric and viscosity effects (see equation (4)); they also stated that (large) positive ΔG^{*E} values imply the presence of strong attractive (associative) interactions, which, however, is not really the case we have in the studied systems. The reason for the latter discrepancy is in the fact that the molecules of the pure components of the studied mixtures quite differ by size –the ratios of molar volumes at 25°C range between 3.4 and 4. Reed and Taylor [78] as well as Heric and Brewer [79] suggested that, particularly in the case of the systems having molecules different in size, analysis of solely ΔG^{*E} function is not sufficient. Thus, entropic but as well enthalpic contributions to the ΔG^{*E} values (ΔS^{*E} and ΔH^{*E} , respectively) have to be considered in order to fully understand the system behavior. We have applied this procedure in our previous study which also covered systems having pure component molecules different by size – liquid PEG200 and ionic liquids [80].

If one calculates the ΔG^{*E} using equation (4), at a given composition, the other two excess properties of the activation of viscous flow, excess entropy (ΔS^{*E}) and excess enthalpy of activation of viscous flow (ΔH^{*E}), can be calculated applying the equations:

$$\Delta S^{*E} = -\left(\frac{\partial(\Delta G^{*E})}{\partial T}\right)_{p,x} \tag{7}$$

and

$$\Delta H^{*E} = \Delta G^{*E} - T \Delta S^{*E} \tag{8}$$

In Table 3 we have presented the values of ΔS^{*E} and ΔH^{*E} for the studied systems at all studied temperatures, for the constant (equimolar) composition of the mixtures.

T/K	$\Delta G^{*E} / kJ \text{ kmol}^{-1}$	$\Delta S^{*E} / kJ \text{ kmol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$	TΔS ^{*E} / kJ kmol ⁻¹	$\Delta H^{*E} / kJ \text{ kmol}^{-1}$	$Vm_{l}/Vm_{2}{}^{(a)}$
		PPG4	25 + toluene		
288.15	2639.48	-7.09	-2043.60	595.87	3.96
293.15	2682.43	-6.93	-2030.39	652.04	
298.15	2711.05	-7.08	-2109.43	601.62	
303.15	2738.15	-7.54	-2285.45	452.70	
308.15	2761.65	-8.32	-2563.18	198.47	
313.15	2802.98	-9.41	-2947.34	-144.36	
318.15	2846.75	-10.82	-3442.65	-595.90	
323.15	2892.85	-12.54	-4053.85	-1161.00	
328.15	2942.13	-14.58	-4785.66	-1843.53	
333.15	3018.53	-16.94	-5642.80	-2624.27	
		PPG42	25 + o-Xylene		
288.15	2372.95	-10.66	-3072.86	-699.91	3.49
293.15	2405.77	-11.35	-3329.11	-923.34	
298.15	2441.32	-12.27	-3659.37	-1218.05	
303.15	2480.92	-13.41	-4067.01	-1586.09	
308.15	2508.65	-14.78	-4555.41	-2046.76	
313.15	2572.77	-16.37	-5127.94	-2555.16	
318.15	2629.85	-18.19	-5787.97	-3158.12	
323.15	2694.50	-20.23	-6538.89	-3844.39	
328.15	2731.57	-22.50	-7384.06	-4652.49	
333.15	2856.22	-24.99	-8326.86	-5470.64	
		PPG42	5 + m-Xylene		
288.15	2317.30	-10.07	-2901.31	-584.01	3.43
293.15	2355.63	-11.44	-3352.36	-996.73	
298.15	2376.95	-12.83	-3826.02	-1449.07	
303.15	2401.93	-14.26	-4322.75	-1920.83	
308.15	2425.70	-15.72	-4842.99	-2417.29	
313.15	2472.50	-17.20	-5387.20	-2914.70	
318.15	2506.18	-18.72	-5955.81	-3449.64	
323.15	2560.25	-20.27	-6549.30	-3989.05	
328.15	2580.35	-21.84	-7168.09	-4587.74	
333.15	2674.35	-23.45	-7812.65	-5138.30	

Table 3. Excess molar properties of activation of viscous flow: Gibbs free energy (ΔG^{*E}), entropy (ΔS^{*E}), enthalpy (ΔH^{*E}) and entropy-related energetic term $T\Delta S^{*E}$

T/K	⊿G ^{*E} / kJ kmol⁻¹	⊿S ^{*E} / kJ kmol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹	<i>T∆S</i> ^{*E} / kJ kmol ⁻¹	$\Delta H^{ m *E}$ / kJ kmol ⁻¹	$Vm_1/Vm_2^{(a)}$
		PPC	G425 + toluene		
288.15	2682.43	-6.93	-2030.39	595.87	3.96
293.15	2711.05	-7.08	-2109.43	652.04	
298.15	2738.15	-7.54	-2285.45	601.62	
303.15	2761.65	-8.32	-2563.18	452.70	
308.15	2802.98	-9.41	-2947.34	198.47	
313.15	2846.75	-10.82	-3442.65	-144.36	
318.15	2892.85	-12.54	-4053.85	-595.90	
323.15	2942.13	-14.58	-4785.66	-1161.00	
328.15	3018 53	-16 94	-5642.80	-1843 53	
333.15	2639.48	-7.09	-2043.60	-2624.27	
		PPG	425 + o-Xylene		
288.15	2372.95	-10.66	-3072.86	-699.91	3.49
293.15	2405.77	-11.35	-3329.11	-923.34	
298.15	2441.32	-12.27	-3659.37	-1218.05	
303.15	2480.92	-13.41	-4067.01	-1586.09	
308.15	2508.65	-14.78	-4555.41	-2046.76	
313 15	2572.77	-16 37	-5127 94	-2555.16	
318 15	2629.85	-18.19	-5787.97	-3158.12	
323 15	2694 50	-20.23	-6538.89	-3844 39	
328.15	2731 57	-22 50	-7384.06	-4652 49	
333.15	2856.22	-24.99	-8326.86	-5470.64	
		PPG4	425 + m-Xylene		
288 15	2317.30	10.07	2001 31	584.01	3.13
200.15	2317.50	-10.07	-2301.31	-996 73	5.+5
298.15	2355.05	-17.83	-3352.50	-1//9 07	
303 15	2/101 93	-12.05	-3020.02	-1020 83	
308 15	2401.93	-14.20	-4922.19	-1920.05	
313 15	2423.70	.17.20	-+0+2.99	-2+17.29 _2014 70	
318 15	2506 18	-17.20	-5055 81	-2914.70	
373 15	2560.18	-10.72	-65/10 20	-3989.05	
323.13	2500.25	-20.27	7162 00	-5707.05	
320.13 333 15	2300.33	-21.04	-/100.09	-4207.74	
555.15	2074.33	-23.43	-/012.03	-5150.50	
		PPG	425 + p-Xylene		
288.15	2190.80	-8.75	-2522.70	-331.90	3.41
293.15	2196.45	-9.80	-2873.96	-677.51	
298.15	2209.83	-10.88	-3244.65	-1034.82	
303.15	2229.38	-11.99	-3635.22	-1405.85	
308.15	2249.78	-13.13	-4046.13	-1796.36	

313.15	2280.98	-14.30	-4477.83	-2196.85	
318.15	2307.78	-15.50	-4930.75	-2622.98	
323.15	2340.55	-16.73	-5405.36	-3064.81	
328.15	2379.05	-17.99	-5902.11	-3523.06	
333.15	2430.00	-19.27	-6421.43	-3991.43	

^(a) Ratio taken at 298.15K

Table 3 clearly shows that, for all the studied systems, entropic contributions to ΔG^{*E} function are negative providing a substantial entropy related contribution to ΔG^{*E} function, higher that the enthalpic one. This suggests that the viscous flow in all four systems is entropically driven and entropic effects, related to molecule difference in size, are the reason for the highly positive ΔG^{*E} values. This entropic effect is the weakest in the system with toluene (the least negative ΔS^{*E} values). Indeed, the related ΔH^{*E} values, which reflect molecular interactions, are positive only at some lower temperatures in the case of the PEG425+toluene systems thus indicating limited stronger attractive interactions, as suggested by Meyer et al. [77]. This is in agreement with the fact that the lowest negative excess molar volumes are found for this system (~ - 0.5 \cdot 10⁻⁶ m³ mol⁻¹) and that in this case there is no change in sign. Generally, highly negative ΔH^{*E} values for the other three systems, suggest the absence of strong associative interactions [77]; thus, they are in agreement with the excess molar volumes that are only slightly negative and do change sign.

All studied systems have similar refractive indices and their deviations from ideality. This indicates well-packed structure and is in accordance with the entropic analysis of the viscosity flow (vide supra) and with the different in size of the pure component molecules (see Table 3 as well).

Viscosity modelling was performed with two types of models: predictive and correlative. Viscosity was predicted using UNIFAC-VISCO [17,18] and ASOG-VISCO [19] models. Interaction parameters determined in the literature were used for the prediction and are given in Tables 4 and 5. In addition, the viscosity data were correlated with McAllister [20] two-parameter Three-body and three-parameter Four-body models.

The ability of these models to predict dynamic viscosities of selected binary mixtures and to correlate experimental viscosity data was tested by calculating the percentage deviations PD_{max} between the experimental and the calculated viscosities, from the following equation:

$$PD_{max}(\eta) = \frac{100}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left| \frac{\eta_{exp} - \eta_{cal}}{(\eta_{exp})_{max}} \right|_{i}$$
(9)

where $(\eta_{exp})_{max}$ is the maximum of experimental η values.

The results obtained by UNIFAC-VISCO, ASOG-VISCO and McAllister models for the mixtures PPG 425 + toluene / o-xylene / m-xylene / p-xylene over the investigated temperature range are given in Table 6. UNIFAC-VISCO showed as a better predictive model compared to ASOG-VISCO, particularly at higher temperatures and for the systems with xylene isomers. On the other hand, correlative models demonstrated a very good performance, with PD_{max} less than 1% in most cases.

 Table 4 - The UNIFAC-VISCO interaction parameters

α_{nm}							
n/m	CH ₃	CH ₂	СН	OH	CH ₂ O	CHar	Car
CH ₃	0	-709.5 ^a	-911.38 ^b	594.4 ^a	-50.17 ^b	-119.5 ^a	-477.302 ^c
CH_2	66.53 ^a	0	386.02 ^b	498.6 ^a	-319.93 ^b	406.7^{a}	-528.946°
CH	-395.88 ^b	134.65 ^b	0	-684.26 ^b	-695.33 ^b	369.03 ^d	-70.52 ^d
OH	1209 ^a	-634.5 ^a	684.19 ^b	0	-619.36 ^b	197.7 ^a	-517.381°
CH ₂ O	456.91 ^b	-340.25 ^b	112.61 ^b	25.34 ^b	0	-315.736 ^c	-530.478 ^c
CHar	237.2 ^a	-623.7 ^a	-167.52 ^d	419.3 ^a	-696.027°	0	-446.993°
C_{ar}	-371.26 ^c	-539.68°	396.66 ^d	-653.74°	-550.277°	-292.381°	0

^a original UNIFAC-VISCO parameters [17,18]

^b UNIFAC-VISCO parameters from [15]

^c UNIFAC-VISCO parameters from [12]

^d UNIFAC-VISCO parameters from [81]

Table 5 - The ASOG-VISCO	interaction parameters
--------------------------	------------------------

m_{kl}					
k/l	CH ₂	OH	CH ₂ O	CH _{ar}	Car
CH_2	0	-0.357ª	-10.9924ª	0^{a}	1.8024 ^b
OH	14.146 ^a	0	-2.2661ª	5.9432ª	1.0797 ^b
CH ₂ O	-33.9591ª	1.5287 ^a	0	0.6676 ^a	0.15726 ^b
CHar	0^{a}	-11.32 ^a	-0.5917ª	0	-0.2366 ^b
Car	-0.329712 ^b	0.121749 ^b	0.0417313 ^b	0^{b}	0
		i	n _{kl}		
k/l	CH ₂	OH	CH ₂ O	CHar	Car
CH_2	0	469.65 ^a	-1.928 ^a	-418.5 ^a	-2.0608 ^a
OH	-6137 ^b	0	-14.965 ^a	-1247 ^b	-0.0001 ^a
CH ₂ O	-8.176 ^a	-127.018 ^a	0	537.3024 ^a	-170.5928 ^a
CHar	-187.4ª	280.63ª	236.477 ^a	0	146.6703 ^a

Car	-70.06 ^a	7.877^{a}	23.285 ^a	-36.3902 ^a	0
^a original ASOG-VISCO parameters [19]					

^b ASOG-VISCO parameters from [12]

Table 6 - Results of the viscosity prediction and correlation for the investigated binary systems,at temperatures (288.15 - 333.15) K and at atmospheric pressure

	Predictive approach		Correlative approach					
	UNIFAC-	ASOG-	McAlister - 3	McAlister - 4				
T/K	VISCO	VISCO						
-	PD _{max} /%	PD _{max} /%	$PD_{max}/\%$	$PD_{max}/\%$				
	PPG 425 (1) + toluene (2)							
288.15	14.93	14.70	0.12	0.08				
293.15	14.88	16.48	0.12	0.15				
298.15	15.09	17.94	0.13	0.15				
303.15	15.24	19.28	0.16	0.12				
308.15	15.32	20.69	0.16	0.14				
313.15	15.38	21.96	0.19	0.14				
318.15	15.45	23.32	0.20	0.06				
323.15	15.54	24.58	0.23	0.14				
328.15	15.54	25.90	0.25	0.18				
333.15	15.42	27.17	0.34	0.24				
	PPC	G 425 (1) + o-xy	vlene (2)					
288.15	16.28	18.29	0.19	0.15				
293.15	16.12	20.77	0.21	0.15				
298.15	16.37	22.63	0.24	0.17				
303.15	16.41	24.77	0.24	0.17				
308.15	16.43	26.96	0.24	0.16				
313.15	16.33	29.27	0.25	0.15				
318.15	16.42	31.15	0.26	0.15				
323.15	16.41	33.10	0.26	0.13				
328.15	16.38	34.97	0.28	0.11				
333.15	16.40	36.71	0.32	0.10				
	PPC	$6425(1) + m-x_2$	ylene (2)					
288.15	14.17	24.54	0.50	0.41				
293.15	14.12	21.10	0.59	0.48				
298.15	14.45	22.80	0.64	0.51				
303.15	14.58	24.73	0.74	0.57				
308.15	14.70	26.61	0.86	0.62				
313.15	14.77	28.50	0.96	0.59				
318.15	14.94	30.24	1.02	0.67				
323.15	15.03	31.92	1.16	0.71				
328.15	15.12	33.52	1.38	0.74				
333.15	14.97	35.72	0.90	0.54				
	PPC	G 425 (1) + p-xy	vlene (2)					
288.15	12.73	21.12	0.14	0.08				
293.15	12.84	23.23	0.09	0.07				
298.15	13.11	25.05	0.10	0.05				
303.15	13.25	26.99	0.11	0.06				

308.15	13.38	28.88	0.12	0.06
313.15	13.44	30.79	0.13	0.04
318.15	13.61	32.50	0.14	0.05
323.15	13.71	34.19	0.16	0.05
328.15	13.81	35.78	0.17	0.05
333.18	13.80	37.53	0.19	0.07

5. Conclusions

This paper reports experimental data of density, viscosity and refractive index for four binary mixtures PPG 425 + toluene / or o-xylene / or m-xylene / or p-xylene. Measurements were performed in the temperature range (288.15 - 333.15) K with a step of 5 K and at atmospheric pressure. From these data, excess molar volumes $V^{\rm E}$, viscosity deviations $\Delta \eta$, deviations in refractive indices Δn_D and excess Gibbs free energy of activation of viscous flow $\Delta G^{*\rm E}$ were calculated and fitted to the Redlich-Kister polynomial equation.

The values of V^E range from slightly negative (mixture with m-xylene) to moderately negative (mixtures with toluene); also, a change of sign is observed for all the studied systems, except for the one with toluene. $\Delta \eta$ and Δn_D are negative over the entire temperature and composition range for all the mixtures, while ΔG^{*E} shows positive trend for all binary systems.

Analysis of Kamlet-Taft parameters, dielectric constant and dipole moments of the pure compounds as well as dominant random non-polar conformation of PPG in the mixtures with non-polar aromatic solvents indicate that hydrogen bonds and dipole-dipole interactions are not the type of interactions in the present systems. Weak but numerous specific attractive interactions between practically non-polar PPG425 and the aromatic solvents are the prominent interactions in the studied systems, which is in agreement with the values of the excess molar volumes and deviation properties obtained.

The analysis of the enthalpic and entropic contributions to excess Gibbs free energy of activation of viscous flow (ΔG^{*E}) shows that its high positive values are the consequence of the negative entropic contributions due to large difference in size between PPG and aromatic molecules and not of the strong attractive interactions in the system. Viscosity modelling was performed by UNIFAC-VISCO and ASOG-VISCO predictive group contribution models, using interaction

parameters already determined in literature and two McAllister correlative models. UNIFAC – VISCO showed as a better predictive model, particularly at higher temperatures and in systems with xylene isomers.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support received from the Research Fund of Ministry of Science and Environmental Protection, Serbia and the Faculty of Technology and Metallurgy, University of Belgrade (project No 172063).

References

[1] D.J. Heldebrant, H.N. Witt, S.M. Walsh, T. Ellis, J. Rauscher, P.G. Jessop, Liquid polymers as solvents for catalytic reductions, Green Chem. 8 (2006) 807–815.

[2] A. Morão, C. I. Maia, M. M. R. Fonseca, J. M. T. Vasconcelos, S. S. Alves, Effect of antifoam addition on gas-liquid mass transfer in stirred fermenters, Biotechnol. Bioprocess Eng. 20 (1999) 165-172.

[3] J. F. Bosso, M. Wismer, US 3962165 A, Quaternary ammonium salt-containing resin compositions, Ppg Industries, Inc. (1976).

[4] A. Hansson, J. Andersson, A. Leufvén, The effect of sugars and pectin on flavour release from a soft drink-related model system, Food Chem. 72 (2001) 363-368.

[5] G.N. Malcolm, J.S. Rowlinson, The Thermodynamic Properties of Aqueous Solutions of Polyethylene Glycol, Polypropylene Glycol and Dioxane, Trans. Faraday Soc. 53 (1957) 921-931.
[6] G.D. Loveluck, Dipole Moment Studies on Polyethylene and Polypropylene Glycols of Low Molecular Weight, J. Chem. Soc. (1961) 4729-4732.

[7] L.-W. Kim, M.D. Jang, Y.K. Ryu, E.H. Cho, Y.K. Lee, J.H Park, Dipolarity, Hydrogen-Bond Basicity and Hydrogen-Bond Acidity of Aqueous Poly(ethylene glycol)Analytical Sciences 18 (2002) 1357-1360.

[8] Jessop, P.G., Jessop, D.A., Fu, D., Phan, L., Solvatochromic parameters for solvents of interest in green chemistry, Green Chem. 14 (2012) 1245-1259.

[9] C.-Y. Chen, M.A. Even, J. Wang, Z. Chen, Sum Frequency Generation Vibrational Spectroscopy Studies on Molecular Conformation of Liquid Polymers Poly(ethylene glycol) and Poly(propylene glycol) at Different Interfaces, Macromolecules 35 (2002) 9130-9135.

[10] E. Sabadini, Estudo Físico-Químico de Polietileno Glicol com Água e Solventes Aromáticos.PhD Thesis, Universidade Campinas, Brasil, 1993.

[11] G.R. Ivanis, J.M. Vuksanovic, M.S. Calado, M.Lj. Kijevcanin, S.P. Serbanovic, Z.P. Visak, Liquid–liquid and solid–liquid equilibria in the solutions of poly(ethylene glycol) with several organic solvents, Fluid Phase Equilib. 316 (2012) 74-84.

[12] J. M. Vuksanović, E. M. Živković, I. R. Radović, S. P. Šerbanović and M. Lj. Kijevčanin, Experimental study and modelling of volumetric properties, viscosities and refractive indices of binary liquid mixtures benzene + PEG 200/PEG 400 and toluene + PEG 200/PEG 400, *Fluid Phase Equilib.* **345** (2013) 28-44.

[13] J. M. Vuksanović, I. R. Radović, S. P. Šerbanović, M. Lj. Kijevčanin, Experimental Investigation of Interactions and Thermodynamic Properties of Poly(ethylene glycol) 200/400 + Dimethyl Adipate/Dimethyl Phthalate Binary Mixtures, J. Chem. Eng. Data. 60 (2015) 1910-1925.
[14] N. V. Živković, S. S. Šerbanović, M. Lj. Kijevčanin, E. M. Živković, Volumetric and Viscometric Behavior of Binary Systems 2-Butanol + PEG 200, + PEG 400, + Tetraethylene Glycol Dimethyl Ether, and + N-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone, J. Chem. Eng. Data 58 (2013) 3332-3341.
[15] D. M. Bajić, G. R. Ivaniš, Z. P. Visak, E. M. Živković, S. P. Šerbanović, M. Lj. Kijevčanin, Densities, viscosities and refractive indices of the binary systems PEG200 + 1,2- propanediol, + 1,3-propanediol and PEG400 + 1,2-propanediol, + 1,3-propanediol at 288.15 to 333.15 K and atmospheric pressure: measurements and modeling, J. Chem. Thermodynamics 57 (2013) 510-529.

[16] O. Redlich, A. Kister, Algebraic Representation of Thermodynamic Properties and the Classification of Solutions, Ind. Eng. Chem. 40 (1948) 345–348.

[17] J. L. Chevalier, P. Petrino, Y. Gaston-Bonhomme, Estimation method for the kinematic viscosity of a liquid-phase mixture, Chem. Eng. Sci. 43 (1988) 1303-1309.

[18] Y. Gaston-Bonhomme, P. Petrino, J.L. Chevalier, UNIFAC—VISCO group contribution method for predicting kinematic viscosity: extension and temperature dependence, Chem. Eng. Sci. 49 (1994) 1799-1806.

[19] K. Tochigi, K. Yoshino, V. K. Rattan, Prediction of Kinematic Viscosities for Binary and Ternary Liquid Mixtures with an ASOG-VISCO Group Contribution Method, Int. J. Thermophys. 26 (2005) 413-419.

[20] R. A. McAllister, The viscosity of liquid mixtures, AIChE J. 6 (1960) 427-431.

[21] G. Egloff, Physical Constants of Hydrocarbons, Reinhold Publishing Corp., New York (1939-1947), 6 Vols. [22] TRC Thermodynamic Tables-Hydrocarbons, Thermodynamics Research Center, The Texas A&M University System, College Station, TX (1985).

[23] Thermodynamics Research Center, TRC - Thermodynamic Tables -Hydrocarbons, The Texas A&M University System, College Station, TX 77843-3111 (1994).

[24] H. Kashiwagi, T. Hashimoto, Y. Tanaka, H. Kubota, T. Makita, Thermal Conductivity and Density of Toluene in the Temperature Range 273 - 373 K at Pressure Up to 250 MPa, Int. J. Thermophys. 3 (1982) 201-215.

[25] J. L. Hales, R. Townsend, Liquid Densities from 293 to 490 K of Nine Aromatic Hydrocarbons, J. Chem. Thermodynamics 4 (1972) 763-772.

[26] R. Gonzalez-Olmos, M. Iglesias, B. M. R. P. Santos, S. Mattedi, J. M. Goenaga, J. M. Resa, Influence of temperature on thermodynamic properties of substituted aromatic compounds, Physics and Chemistry of Liquids: An International Journal, 48 (2010) 257–271.

[27] Y. Zhang, H. Dong, Y. Yue, C. Wu, Effect of temperature and composition on the density, refractive index, and excess quantities of binary mixtures of 2,4,6,8-tetramethyl-2,4,6,8-tetraethenylcyclotetrasiloxane with aromatic hydrocarbon, J. Chem. Thermodynamics 57 (2013) 114–130.

[28] S. C. Bhatia, R. Rani, R. Bhatia, Viscosities, densities, speeds of sound and refractive indices of binary mixtures of o-xylene, m-xylene, p-xylene, ethylbenzene and mesitylene with 1-decanol at 298.15 and 308.15 K, J. Mol. Liq. 159 (2011) 132–141.

[29] J. Chen, R. Shen, W. Liu, G. Yu, A study of densities and volumetric properties of binary mixtures of N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone with xylene at different temperatures and atmospheric pressure, J. Chem. Thermodynamics 39 (2007) 934–941.

[30] S. K. Garg, T. S. Banipal, J. C. Ahluwalia, Heat capacities and densities of liquid o-xylene, m-xylene, p-xylene, and ethylbenzene at temperatures from 318.15 K to 373.15 K and at pressures up to 10 MPa, J. Chem. Thermodynamics 25 (1993) 57-62.

[31] T. Yang, S. Xia, S. Song, X. Fu, P. Ma, Densities and Viscosities of N-Formylmorpholine (NFM) + p-Xylene, + o-Xylene, + m-Xylene at Different Temperatures and Atmospheric Pressure, J. Chem. Eng. Data 52 (2007) 2062-2066. [32] J. A. Al-Kandary, A. S. Al-Jimaz, A. M. Abdul-Latif, Excess molar volumes and refractive indices of (methoxybenzene + benzene, or toluene, or o-xylene, or m-xylene, or p-xylene, or mesitylene) binary mixtures between T = (288.15 to 303.15) K, J. Chem. Thermodynamics 38 (2006) 1351–1361.

[33] Y. Gu, J. Wu, Volumetric properties of binary mixtures of (N,N-diethylformamide+xylenes) at temperatures between T=293.15 K and T=353.15 K at p=0.1 MPa, J. Mol. Liq. 137 (2008) 165–173.

[34] H. Kashiwagi, I. T. Makita, Viscosity of Twelve Hydrocarbon Liquids in the Temperature Range 298-348 K at Pressures up to 110 MPa, International Journal of Thermophysics, 3 (1982) 289-305.

[35] G. Ritzoulis, G. Moumouzias, Densities, Relative Permittivities, and Refractive Indices of the Binary Systems Propylene Carbonate + o-Xylene and Propylene Carbonate + m-Xylene at (15, 20, 25, 30, and 35) °C, J. Chem. Eng. Data 45 (2000) 202-206.

[36] M. Behroozi, H. Zarei, Volumetric properties of binary mixtures of tributylamine with benzene derivatives and comparison with ERAS model results at temperatures from (293.15 to 333.15) K, J. Chem. Thermodynamics 47 (2012) 276–287.

[37] J. A. Al-Kandary, A. S. Al-Jimaz, A. M. Abdul-Latif, Viscosities, Densities, and Speeds of Sound of Binary Mixtures of Benzene, Toluene, o-Xylene, m-Xylene, p-Xylene, and Mesitylene with Anisole at (288.15, 293.15, 298.15, and 303.15) K, J. Chem. Eng. Data 51 (2006) 2074-2082.

[38] A. K. Nain, Densities and Volumetric Properties of Binary Mixtures of Tetrahydrofuran with Some Aromatic Hydrocarbons at Temperatures from 278.15 to 318.15 K, J. Solution Chem. 35 (2006) 1417–1439.

[39] C. Song, H. Shen, J. Zhao, L. Wang, F. Wang, Densities and Viscosities of Binary Mixtures of Vitamin K3 with Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, o-Xylene, m-Xylene, and p-Xylene from (303.15 to 333.15) K, J. Chem. Eng. Data 53 (2008) 1110–1115.

[40] H. Wang, L. Hu, Y. Wu, Excess volumes and partial molar volumes of binary mixtures of 1,2-propanediol carbonate with xylene in the temperature range of (293.15 to 353.15) K, J. Chem. Thermodynamics 37 (2005) 1119–1129.

[41] J. George, N. V. Sastry, Densities, Excess Molar Volumes, Viscosities, Speeds of Sound, $C_mH_{2m}+1(OCH_2CH_2)_nOH (m = 1 \text{ or } 2 \text{ or } 4 \text{ and } n = 1) + Benzene, + Toluene, + (o-, m-, and p-)$ Xylenes, + Ethylbenzene, and + Cyclohexane, J. Chem. Eng. Data 48 (2003) 977-989.

[42] M. V. Rathnam, S. Mohite, M. S. Kumar, Thermophysical Properties of Isoamyl Acetate or Methyl Benzoate + Hydrocarbon Binary Mixtures, at (303.15 and 313.15) K, J. Chem. Eng. Data 54 (2009) 305–309.

[43] C. Yang, P. Ma, Q. Zhou, Excess Molar Volumes and Viscosities of Binary Mixtures of Sulfolane with Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, p-Xylene, o-Xylene, and m-Xylene at 303.15 and 323.15 K and Atmospheric Pressure, J. Chem. Eng. Data 49 (2004) 881-885.

[44] M. Lee, Y. Tuan, H. Lin, Pressure–volume–temperature properties for binary and ternary polymer solutions of poly(ethylene glycol), poly(propylene glycol), and poly(ethylene glycol methyl ether) with anisole, Polymer 44 (2003) 3891–3900.

[45] P. Zoller, D. J. Walsh, Standard pressure–volume–temperature data for polymers, Technomic Pub. Co (1995).

[46] M. F. Bolotnikov, V. N. Verveyko, M. V. Verveyko, Speeds of Sound, Densities, and Isentropic Compressibilities of Poly(propylene glycol)-425 at Temperatures from (293.15 to 373.15) K and Pressures up to 100 MPa, J. Chem. Eng. Data 49 (2004) 631-634.

[47] A. K. Shams, Densities, Refractive Indices and Excess Properties of Binary Mixtures of Acetonitrile with Benzene, Toluene, m-Xylene and Mesitylene at Temperatures from (298.15 to 313.15) K, Journal of Al-Nahrain University 14 (2011) 75-85.

[48] N. Calvar, B.González, E.Gómez, J. Canosa, Density, Speed of Sound, and Refractive Index for Binary Mixtures Containing Cycloalkanes and Aromatic Compounds at T) 313.15 K, J. Chem. Eng. Data 54 (2009), 1334–1339.

[49] A. K. Nain, Refractive Indices of Binary Mixtures of Tetrahydrofuran with Aromatic Hydrocarbon at Temperatures from (288.15 to 318.15) K, J. Chem. Eng. Data 53 (2008) 850–853.
[50] J. N. Nayak, M. I. Aralaguppi, T. M. Aminabhavi, Density, Viscosity, Refractive Index, and Speed of Sound in the Binary Mixtures of Ethyl Chloroacetate with Aromatic Liquids at 298.15, 303.15, and 308.15 K, J. Chem. Eng. Data 47 (2002) 964-969.

[51] A. Ali, A. K. Nain, D. Chand, R. Ahmad, Volumetric, ultrasonic, viscometric and refractive index behavior of binarymixtures of 2,2,4-trimethylpentane with aromatic hydrocarbons: An experimental and theoretical study, J. Mol. Liq. 128 (2006) 32–41.

[52] B. Gonzalez, E. J. Gonzlez, N. Calvar, I. Dominguez, J. Canosa, Density, Speed of Sound, and Refractive Index for Binary Mixtures Containing Cycloalkanes with o-Xylene, m-Xylene, p-Xylene, and Mesitylene at T (298.15 and 313.15) K, J. Chem. Eng. Data 55 (2010) 2294–2305.

[53] M. I. Aralaguppl, T. M. Amlnabhavl, S. B. Harogoppad, R. H. Balundgl, Thermodynamic Interactions in Binary Mixtures of Dimethyl Sulfoxide with Benzene, Toluene, 1,3-Dimethylbenzene, 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene, and Methoxybenzene from 298.15 to 308.15 K, J. Chem. Eng. Data 37 (1992) 298-303.

[54] A. F. Rossini, F. Forziati, D. Frederick, Physical Properties of Sixty API-NBS Hydrocarbons, Part of the Journal of Research of the National Bureau of Standards, 43 (1949).

[55] R. Dowd, W. K. Plucknett, Refraction and Polarization Properties of Binary Solutions of the Nitrotoluene Isomers with the Xylene Isomers, Chloroform and Cyclohexane, J. Chem. Eng. Data 8 (1963) 207-210.

[56] T. E. Vittal Prasad, K. Chandrika, M. Haritha, N. B. Geetha, D. H. L. Prasad, Density and Viscosity of Ethanol + o-Xylene, Ethanol + m-Xylene, Ethanol + p-Xylene and Methanol + o-Xylene Mixtures, An International Journal, (2014) 37:4, 429-434.

[57] M. J. Mussche, L. A. Verhoeye, Viscosity of Ten Binary One Ternary Mixtures, J. Chem. Eng. Data 20 (1975) 46-50.

[58] R. P. Singh, C. P. Sinha, Viscosities and Activation Energies of Viscous Flow of the Binary Mixtures of n-Hexane with Toluene, Chlorobenzene, and 1-Hexanol, J. Chem. Eng. Data 29 (1984) 132-135.

[59] J. Nath, B. Narain, Binary Systems of Tetrachlorethylene with Benzene, Toluene, p-Xylene, Carbon Tetrachloride, and Cyclohexane. Viscosities at 303.15 K, J. Chem. Eng. Data 28 (1983) 296-297.

[60] G. Bolat, G. Lisa, I. M. Popa, Experimental dynamic viscosities of binary mixtures: acetic acid + water, benzene, toluene, n – hexane, n – heptanes at 296.15, 302.15, 308.15, 314.15 and 319.15 K, Scientific Study & Research, Vol. VI (2) (2005) 181-190.

[61] S. C. Bhatia, R. Rani, R. Bhatia, Densities, Speeds of Sound, and Isentropic Compressibilities of Binary Mixtures of {Alkan-1-ols : 1,2-Dimethylbenzene, or 1,3-Dimethylbenzene, or 1,4-Dimethylbenzene, or Ethylbenzene} at (293.15, 303.15, and 313.15) K, J. Chem. Eng. Data 56 (2011) 1675–1681.

[62] A. Et-Tahir, C. Boned, B. Lagourette, P. Xans, Determination of the Viscosity of Various Hydrocarbons and Mixtures of Hydrocarbons Versus Temperature and Pressure, International Journal of Thermophysics, 16 (1995) 1309–1334.

[63] H. Kashiwagi, T. Makita, Viscosity of Twelve Hydrocarbon Liquids in the Temperature Range 298-348 K at Pressures up to 110 MPa, International Journal of Thermophysics 3 (1982) 289-305.

[64] J. Yang, L. Dai, X. Wang, Y. Chen, Densities and Viscosities of Binary Mixtures of Methyl
4-Chlorobutyrate with Aromatic Hydrocarbons at T (298.15 to 318.15) K, J. Chem. Eng. Data 54
(2009) 2332–2337.

[65] N. C. Exarchos, M. Tasiuola-Margari, I. N. Demetropoulos, Viscosities and Densities of Dilute Solutions of Glycerol Trioleate + Octane, +p-Xylene, + Toluene, and + Chloroform, J. Chem. Eng. Data 40 (1995) 567-571.

[66] A. K. Nain, Ultrasonic and viscometric studies of molecular interactions in binary mixtures of tetrahydrofuran with some aromatic hydrocarbons at temperatures from 288.15 to 318.15 K, Physics and Chemistry of Liquids: An International Journal 45 (2007) 371-388.

[67] L. Serrano, J. A. Silva, F. Farelo, Densities and Viscosities of Binary and Ternary Liquid Systems Containing Xylenes, J. Chem. Eng. Data 35 (1990) 288-291.

[68] M. V. Rathnam, S. Mohite, Viscosity, Density, and Refractive Index of Some (Ester +Hydrocarbon) Binary Mixtures at 303.15 K and 313.15 K, J. Chem. Eng. Data 50 (2005) 325-329

[69] M. Lj. Kijevčanin, B. D. Đorđević, I. R. Radović, E. M. Živković, A. Ž. Tasić and S. P.Šerbanović, Modeling of Volumetric Properties of Organic Mixtures Based on Molecular Interactions, Molecular Interaction, Aurelia Meghea (Ed.), InTech, 2012.

[70] M. Abraham, P. Grellier, J.-L. Abboud, R. M. Doherty, R. Taft, Solvent effects in organic chemistry - recent developments, Can. J. Chem. 66 (1988) 2673-2686.

[71] C.L. Yaws (Ed.), Chemical Properties Handbook, McGraw-Hill, Beijing, 1999.

[72] V. Gupta, S. Maken, K.C. Kalra, K.C. Singh, Dielectric constants and refractive indices of 1propanol or 2-propanol + cyclohexane, benzene, toluene, o-, m- and p-xylene at 308.15 K, Indian Journal of Chemistry 35A (1996) 974-978.

[73] W.H. Brown, C.S. Foote, B.L. Iverson, E.V. Anslyn, Organic Chemistry, 5th Edition, Brooks/Cole, CENGAGE Learning, 2009, p. 335.

[74] K. Tasaki, Conformation and Dynamics of Poly(oxyethylene) in Benzene Solution: Solvent Effect from Molecular Dynamic Simulation, Macromolecules 29 (1996) 8922-8933.

[75] P. Linse, M. Bjorling, Lattice theory for multicomponent mixtures of copolymers with internal degrees of freedom in heterogeneous systems, Macromolecules 24 (1991) 6700-6711.

[76] R. J. Fort and W. R. Moore, Viscosities of binary liquid mixtures, Trans. Faraday Soc.62 (1966) 1112-1119.

[77] R. Meyer, M. Meyer, J. Metzger, A. Peneloux, Étude Thermodynamique des mélanges binaires, J. Chim. Phys. 62 (1971) 406–412.

[78] T. Reed and T. Taylor, Viscosities of liquid mixtures, J. Phys. Chem., 63 (1959) 58-67.

[79] D. M. Bajic, E. M. Zivkovic, J. D. Jovanovic, S. P. Serbanovic, M. Lj. Kijevcanin, Experimental measurements and modelling of volumetric properties, refractive index and viscosity of binary systems of ethyl lactate with methyl ethyl ketone, toluene and n-methyl-2-pirrolidone at 288.15-323.15 K and atmospheric pressure. New UNIFAC-VISCO and ASOG-VISCO interaction parameters, Fluid Phase Equilib. 399 (2015) 50-65.

[80] M.S. Calado, A.S.H. Branco, J.C.F. Diogo, J.M.N.A. Fareleira, Z.P. Visak, Solubility, volumetric properties and viscosity of the sustainable systems of liquid poly(ethylene glycol) 200 with imidazolium and phosphonium-based ionic liquids: Cation and anion effects, J. Chem. Thermodynamics 80 (2015) 79-91.