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Abstract | Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) is a functional gastrointestinal disease with a high population prevalence. The disorder can be debilitating in some patients, whereas others may have mild or moderate symptoms. The most important single risk factors are female sex, younger age and preceding gastrointestinal infections. Clinical symptoms of IBS include abdominal pain or discomfort, stool irregularities and bloating, as well as other somatic, visceral and psychiatric comorbidities. Currently, the diagnosis of IBS is based on symptoms and the exclusion of other organic diseases, and therapy includes drug treatment of the predominant symptoms, nutrition and psychotherapy. Although the underlying pathogenesis is far from understood, aetiologial factors include increased epithelial hyperpermeability, dysbiosis, inflammation, visceral hypersensitivity, epigenetics and genetics, and altered brain–gut interactions. IBS considerably affects quality of life and imposes a profound burden on patients, physicians and the health-care system. The past decade has seen remarkable progress in our understanding of functional bowel disorders such as IBS that will be summarized in this Primer.

IBS is a multifactorial disease. Hence, the underlying pathogenesis is considered complex and the precise molecular pathophysiology is far from understood. Several functional alterations have been described, such as altered visceral sensitivity, functional brain alterations, bowel motility and secretory dysfunctions, and somatic and psychiatric comorbidities. Furthermore, gastrointestinal abnormalities — such as immune activation, gut dysbiosis (microbial imbalance), impaired mucosal functions, nerve sensitization, post-infectious plasticity, altered expression and release of mucosal and immune mediators, and altered gene expression profiles — have been associated with IBS. However, a coherent link between particular pathologies and IBS symptoms is yet to be established.

Moreover, results from studies assessing the contribution of most of the proposed pathological factors are inconsistent and the particular aetiology is often not related to particular gut symptoms. For example, some studies have found evidence for gut micro-inflammation in IBS, whereas others could not confirm this finding, despite similar gastrointestinal symptoms. Such discrepancies, which also apply to the other biomarker candidates (not only to inflammation), strongly suggest the existence of IBS subpopulations, which, despite the similarity in gut symptoms, can be defined and distinguished by their pathophysiology and in-depth...
assessments of clinical and molecular biomarker clusters. The same heterogeneity is evident with respect to clinical diagnosis and management. Indeed, medical treatment, nutritional intervention and psychotherapy lack consistent and homogeneous efficacy, but can be effective in some subgroups.

This Primer summarizes recent progress in our understanding of IBS prevalence, comorbidities, QOL and the putative roles of inflammation, genetics, the intestinal microbiota and the brain–gut axis in IBS pathogenesis. Furthermore, we will discuss the current diagnostic approach and highlight the therapeutic options in IBS, including drugs, nutrition and psychotherapy.

Epidemiology
Global prevalence and incidence
Prevalence rates of IBS vary between 1.1% and 45%, based on population studies from countries worldwide (Fig. 2; Supplementary information S1 (table)), with a pooled global prevalence of 11.2% (95% CI: 9.8–12.8)1. Prevalence rates of 5–10% are reported for most European countries, the United States and China1. Population statistics for IBS in most African and many Asian countries are unavailable, which might point to the inability to differentiate between infectious diarrhea and IBS in tropical countries, especially in those nations with poor health-care systems or limited patient access to medical care, or to less attention of the health-care system for functional disorders, once an acute infection has been excluded2.

Gathering subtype-specific prevalence information is complex. IBS subtypes overlap considerably in terms of symptoms, and patients vary over time in terms of their predominant symptoms, and thus switch subtype3. The few population studies that have differentiated between IBS subtypes suggest that, in countries with a total IBS prevalence of ~10%, IBS-C and IBS-D each account for one-third of the affected population4. Incidence rates of IBS (that is, the annual occurrence of new cases) are not reported for most countries, but a few long-term surveys (≥10 years) in the United States allow for an estimation of the annual incidence in the range of 1–2%5. At the same time, disappearance rates of 2% have been reported6, indicating spontaneous disease remission.

Association between IBS and other disorders
Not only do IBS subtypes overlap but population-based studies also report a substantial overlap of ≥20% with other functional gastrointestinal disorders of the upper and lower gastrointestinal system: functional dyspepsia, heartburn, gastroesophageal reflux disease and nausea on the one hand7, and diarrhoea, incontinence, pelvic floor dysynergia and constipation on the other hand8. An overlap of IBS with inflammatory bowel diseases (IBDs; including Crohn disease and ulcerative colitis) during remission phases has been proposed9 but is not mutually agreed on10.

Other IBS-associated disorders (Fig. 3) include functional non-gastrointestinal syndromes, such as urological chronic pelvic pain syndrome (this term includes interstitial cystitis and chronic prostatitis), vulvodynia, overactive bladder, prostatic pain syndrome, premenstrual syndrome, sexual (including erectile) dysfunction, chronic pelvic pain, fibromyalgia syndrome, chronic fatigue syndrome, migraine, eating disorders, nutritional intolerances and others11. All of these syndromes considerably overlap with IBS in population studies to a degree that is often beyond what is expected based on the prevalence rates of the individual diseases. Given that many of these conditions are only diagnosed in specialized centres, it has been questioned as to whether some of these conditions — for example, IBS and chronic pelvic pain — are one and the same disease12.

In addition, most epidemiological studies note the presence of psychiatric comorbidities (such as anxiety, depression, somatization or neuroticism) not only for IBS but also for these IBS-associated diseases. Again, the rates are above the expected levels for IBS and the population prevalence of these symptoms13. Thus, the entire disease entity (IBS, functional gastrointestinal disorders and other functional non-gastrointestinal disorders) has been included in the term ‘somatic symptom disorder’

Box 1 | IBS definition and subtypes: Rome III criteria

Diagnostic criteria* for irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) include recurrent abdominal pain or discomfort† least 3 days per month in the past 3 months associated with two or more of the following:
- Improvement with defaecation
- Onset associated with a change in the frequency of stool
- Onset associated with a change in the form (appearance) of stool

*Criteria fulfilled for the past 3 months with symptom onset at least 6 months before diagnosis.
†Discomfort means an uncomfortable sensation not described as pain. In pathophysiological research and clinical trials, a pain or discomfort frequency of at least 2 days per week during screening evaluation for subject eligibility. Adapted with permission from REF: 119, American Gastroenterology Association.
Mechanisms/pathophysiology

Although the aetiology of IBS remains largely undetermined, our understanding of the potential mechanisms involved in gut dysfunction, visceral sensation and symptom generation is rapidly advancing. Growing evidence suggests that, in IBS, the epithelial barrier, gut microbiota, food antigens and bile acids elicit abnormal responses in the key regulators of sensorimotor functions, including the hypothalamus–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis, the immune system, the brain–gut axis and the enteric nervous system (ENS) (Fig. 4). Accordingly, these factors might have a role as potential biomarkers of disease (Box 3). In addition to these putative biomarkers, psychological factors (‘psychomarkers’) such as depression and anxiety, which are known to respond to abdominal symptoms (bottom-up), and psychosocial factors (‘stress’) that influence physiological (intestinal) functions, such as motility and visceral sensitivity (top-down), have been acknowledged and will be discussed in more detail.

The epithelial barrier

The epithelial gut lining represents an enormous surface that is in constant contact with the environment and with billions of bacteria that constantly challenge the intestinal immune system. Increased intestinal permeability is considered an early event in IBS that leads to low-grade immune cell infiltration of the gut mucosa. Indeed, increased epithelial permeability has been primarily described in post-infectious IBS in general and in IBS-D in particular, although some reports have also shown that IBS-C and IBS-M might also involve an increase in epithelial permeability. Evidence for the presence of this remodelling in IBS has been provided by electron microscopy, which has detected enlarged spaces between epithelial cells and cytoskeletal condensation in gut biopsies of patients with IBS-D. In addition, Ussing chamber experiments, which measure epithelial membrane properties on colonic mucosal biopsies, have shown excessive passage of macromolecules from the luminal to the basolateral side of gut tissue.

Post-infectious IBS

Several studies have shown an association between IBS and preceding gastrointestinal infections of bacterial, viral or other origin. The pooled odds ratio is 7.3 (95% CI: 4.7–11.1) for the development of IBS after infectious gastroenteritis, with a median prevalence of ~10%. This association seems to differ with respect to epidemic infectious events that affect many people at the same time and individual infections, such as travelers’ diarrhoea. That is, prevalence data are reported to be higher (15–30%) in epidemic events and lower (5–10%) following travellers’ diarrhoea; these differences are presumably due to different reporting biases in these populations. Thus, a median prevalence of 10% might better reflect the true prevalence of post-infectious IBS than the extreme values reported in individual studies. Risk factors for the development of post-infectious IBS are female sex, younger age, the severity of the initial infection and premorbid psychological conditions.

Based on symptoms alone, post-infectious IBS cannot be distinguished from IBS without an infectious origin, but inflammatory biomarkers may. The most valid distinction may be a sudden onset that is well remembered by the patient and is associated with fever, bloody stools and a positive laboratory stool test for an infective agent.
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Risk factors for IBS

The best-documented risk factor for IBS is female sex, which is associated with an odds ratio of 1.67 (95% CI: 1.53–1.82) across many population-based studies, with explanations varying between sex-different health care, consultation behaviour and biological functions (for example, hormonal regulation of gut functions). The incidence of IBS decreases with advancing age (>50 years), but is similar in children and adolescents compared with adults and does not necessarily transmit from childhood to adulthood. However, family aggregation has been reported that is driven by genetics as well as by social learning. Box 2 lists the personal, disease, psychosocial and social factors that have been found to be associated with increased risk of IBS, although some of these factors have only been identified in individual studies or have been found to vary between countries and settings.

Post-infectious IBS

Several studies have shown an association between IBS and preceding gastrointestinal infections of bacterial, viral or other origin. The pooled odds ratio is 7.3 (95% CI: 4.7–11.1) for the development of IBS after infectious gastroenteritis, with a median prevalence of ~10%. This association seems to differ with respect to epidemic infectious events that affect many people at the same time and individual infections, such as travelers’ diarrhoea. That is, prevalence data are reported to be higher (15–30%) in epidemic events and lower (5–10%) following travellers’ diarrhoea; these differences are presumably due to different reporting biases in these populations. Thus, a median prevalence of 10% might better reflect the true prevalence of post-infectious IBS than the extreme values reported in individual studies. Risk factors for the development of post-infectious IBS are female sex, younger age, the severity of the initial infection and premorbid psychological conditions.

Based on symptoms alone, post-infectious IBS cannot be distinguished from IBS without an infectious origin, but inflammatory biomarkers may. The most valid distinction may be a sudden onset that is well remembered by the patient and is associated with fever, bloody stools and a positive laboratory stool test for an infective agent.
in biopsies obtained from patients with IBS compared with asymptomatic controls, hence providing the functional correlate for the described structural epithelial barrier defects.^

Morphological and functional changes in intestinal permeability are related to abnormal gene and protein expression of tight junction proteins, including a reduction in the expression of occludin and zonula occludens protein 1 (REFS 25, 28). These findings have recently been corroborated by genetic and epigenetic findings in tight junction proteins claudin 1, claudin 2 and cingulin, as outlined below. Tight junction changes are probably the result of both bacterial-mediated and proteasome-mediated degradation triggered by low-grade inflammation.^

Accordingly, inflammatory mediators including eicosanoids, histamine and proteases increase intestinal permeability. This may involve the participation of ENS neurons, which may amplify these effects.^

Increased intestinal permeability has been linked to diarrhoea and pain severity, suggesting that this mechanism might have a role in symptom generation in IBS. Although the exact causes underlying the ‘leaky’ gut barrier in IBS remain elusive, it has been postulated that numerous factors could be involved, including genetics, epigenetics, dysbiosis and food allergies.^

Confocal laser endomicroscopy of the duodenal mucosa of patients with IBS after challenge with food to which the patients reported intolerance showed epithelial breaks and increased intercrypt spaces, indicative of increased intestinal permeability. These studies suggest a causative effect of food in the increased epithelial permeability in IBS.^

**Bile acids**

A subset of patients with features compatible with IBS-D present with increased levels of total faecal bile acids caused by increased excretion and synthesis of serum C4 (7α-hydroxy-4-cholesten-3-one; a surrogate for bile acid synthesis), which in turn influences bowel habit by accelerating colonic transit and inducing diarrhoea and visceral hypersensitivity in IBS.^

Of note, genes involved in bile acid metabolism and function have been reported to be associated with colonic transit in IBS-D, as outlined below.

**Immune response**

It has been argued that the immune system participates in the pathophysiology of IBS based on the clinical observation that infectious gastroenteritis is a strong risk factor for the development of IBS. Additional clinical support comes from the evidence that about one-third of patients with IBD in remission experience IBS-like symptoms. These inferential data have been subsequently enriched by quantitative immunohistochemistry data showing increased infiltration of T cells and mast cells in the mucosa of the small and large intestine of some patients with IBS.

Two randomized controlled trials (RCTs) in patients with IBS demonstrated that the anti-inflammatory agent mesalazine was not superior to placebo in alleviating IBS symptoms, although both studies clearly indicated that subgroups, particularly patients with post-infectious IBS, had sustained symptomatic responses. Thus, these studies confirm the hypothesis that immune activation has a considerable role in some patients with IBS.
Neuroimmune interactions

Mucosal mediators isolated from biopsy samples from patients with IBS have been extensively studied to identify their effect on bowel physiology and sensory perception in isolated tissues or laboratory animals. Compared with controls, mucosal mediators from patients with IBS evoked higher activation of visceral and somatic pain pathways when applied to intestinal preparations isolated from rodents. Mast cells and enteroendocrine cells have been suggested to participate in this abnormal neural signalling, as indicated by the activation of human ENS neurons via mast cell-derived histamine, enteroendocrine cell-derived serotonin (also known as 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT)) and protease-dependent mechanisms (Fig. 5). Although most of the proteases are secreted by mast cells, some of the serine and cysteine proteases that are present at a higher level in the mucosa or stool of patients with IBS than controls might be of other, probably pancreatic or bacterial, origin. In line with these findings, serine proteases in faecal supernatants from individuals with IBS-D evoked colonic hypersensitivity to distension. By contrast, faecal cysteine protease activity was augmented in some patients with IBS-C compared with controls and increased protease activity correlated with abdominal pain and impaired epithelial permeability. Further work showed the implication of serine proteases that act on protease-activated nociceptors located on intestinal nerves conveying pain stimuli to the brain. Importantly, mucosal mediators from patients with IBS and visceral hypersensitivity — but not from normosensitive patients with IBS — acutely activated spinal nociceptors when given to animal models. In the same model, chronic exposure to soluble mediators from patients with IBS-D was shown to sensitize nociceptive neurons, implying that chronicity is associated with long-lasting plasticity alterations.

Attention has been directed to agonists of the transient receptor potential cation channels (TRPs), which have been implicated in the pathogenesis of sensory hyperalgesia. Colon tissue samples from patients with IBS have increased levels of specific polyunsaturated fatty acids, which stimulate sensory neurons from mice via the activation of TRP subfamily V member 4 (TRPV4) and generate visceral hypersensitivity. The importance of those visceral afferents that express TRPs in IBS symptomatology is underscored by the finding that peripheral blood mononuclear cell (PBMC) supernatants from patients with IBS-D cause mechanical hypersensitivity of visceral afferents via tumour necrosis factor (TNF) and TRPA1; this was not observed if control supernatants were used.

Recent data support the concept that the chronic release of factors with known effects on nerves in the intestinal milieu might not only have functional effects but could also affect the ENS and sensory fibres in a structural manner. For example, immunohistochemistry showed a 57.7% and 56.1% increase in mucosal neurons and neuronal outgrowth, respectively, in patients with IBS compared with healthy controls. Indeed,
the intestinal mucosa of patients with IBS contains increased levels of nerve growth factor (NGF), primarily in mucosal mast cells. Experimentally, the effect of NGF was demonstrated in primary cell cultures of the rat myenteric plexus and the neuroblastoma cell line SH-SY5Y, which showed an increase in neurite growth, and protein and mRNA expression of growth-associated protein 43 (GAP43; also known as neuromodulin) — a key neuronal growth protein — following exposure to supernatant obtained from mucosal biopsies of patients with IBS⁴⁹.

**Box 2 | Risk factors for IBS**

**Personal factors**
- Sex (female)
- Age (>50 years)
- Birth cohort*
- Breast feeding (<6 months)*
- Herbivore pet in childhood*
- Birth weight (low)*
- Body mass index (low)*

**Psychological factors**
- Illness behaviour
- Low quality of life
- Acute psychological stress
- Stressful life events
- Sexual or physical abuse history
- Anxiety, depression or somatization
- Intimate partner violence*
- Addictive behaviour*

**Somatic issues**
- Gastrointestinal infection
- Somatic symptoms (pains, for example, joint pain and migraine)
- Endometriosis
- Abdominal obesity
- Diverticular disease (left side)
- Antibiotic use
- Abdominal surgery
- Spicy food consumption*
- Sleep problems*
- Low exercise level*

**Social conditions**
- Socioeconomic status (childhood)
- Family history of substance abuse
- Family history of mental illness
- Working conditions (insufficient autonomy)*
- Shift work*
- Marital status (never married)*
- Number of family members (with more members increasing the risk)*
- Childhood war exposure*

Less well-established factors are marked (*) and are based on single studies (for example, REF. 21), whereas all others have been shown in more than one study.

**Microbiota**

The gastrointestinal microbiota is a diverse and numerous ecosystem that inhabits the entire gastrointestinal tract and has a systemic influence on our health. Owing to its enormous complexity and high interindividual variability, the microbiota is still in large part undefined regarding the scope of its contribution to human physiology and tolerable compositional variations under which normal functions are preserved⁴⁰. The evidence for an involvement of altered gut microbiota composition in IBS pathophysiology has been accumulating (BOX 4), but the aetiological role remains uncertain. The most prominent markers of IBS are derived from uncultured bacteria. Two groups of uncultured Clostridia are significantly depleted in IBS⁵¹,⁵², and bacteria related to *Ruminococcus torques* (a species belonging to the Lachnospiraceae) are profoundly enriched in patients with IBS⁵³,⁵⁴ and levels positively correlate with bowel symptoms⁵¹,⁵²,⁵⁵. In addition, increased Firmicutes to Bacteroidetes ratios have been observed at the phylum level, at least in a subset of patients⁵¹ (for a recent review see REF. 56). Given the provided evidence, the dysbiosis of microbiota in IBS has been acknowledged by the Rome Foundation Working Team⁵⁶ as a plausible contributing factor to the disorder. Experiments with animal models have shown that colonization of germ-free animals with microbiota from patients with IBS can induce visceral hypersensitivity⁵⁸, impair intestinal permeability and alter gastrointestinal transit time⁵⁹ — indicating the importance and the possible aetiological role of the microbiota in IBS.

Although diet changes have an effect on the abundance of particular microbial groups, the microbiotic signature (in terms of present species) is very stable⁶⁰. To observe a profound effect, the dietary change has to be dramatic (for example, vegans switching to high-fat and high-protein diets⁶¹). Dietary interventions (such as low dietary content of fermentable oligosaccharides, disaccharides, monosaccharides and polyols (FODMAPs; BOX 5), or the addition of sweeteners (fructo-oligosaccharides) or fibre (psyllium)) can improve symptoms of some but not all patients with IBS. Future studies should evaluate the relevance of these microbial groups for IBS and could contribute to a better understanding of the role of the microbiota in the pathophysiology of IBS that is currently acknowledged for the following contexts.

**Fermentation of non-digestible foods.** An important role of the microbiota is degradation of non-digestible dietary components⁶². It is generally accepted that fermentation of carbohydrates is desirable because of the beneficial effects of the main fermentation products — short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) — including energy supply to gastrointestinal epithelial cells, a decrease in inflammation and improvement in gut barrier function⁶³. However, in patients with IBS, the presence of the resistant carbohydrates FODMAPs can provoke IBS symptoms⁶⁴. This might be a result of overproduction or underproduction of relevant metabolites owing to the disturbed microbiotic balance, for example,
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**Figure 4 | Overview of the pathophysiology of IBS.** Although the aetiology of irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) has not yet been completely elucidated, various factors have a role, including composition of the gut microbiota, intestinal permeability, immune cell reactivity and sensitivity of the enteric nervous system, the brain–gut axis (spinal, vagal or pelvic pathways) or the brain. The figure highlights those mediators that are probably involved in IBS pathology. The plus symbols indicate whether a mediator activates or inhibits its target cell; those in parentheses denote actions established in animal models and those without parentheses are effects demonstrated in humans (human tissue).

- **5-HT**, 5-hydroxytryptamine (also known as serotonin); **CGRP**, calcitonin gene-related peptide; **GDNF**, glial cell-derived neurotrophic factor; **IL**, interleukin; **PAR2**, proteinase-activated receptor 2; **TNF**, tumour necrosis factor.

Due to an increased abundance of gas-producing and decreased abundance of gas-utilizing microorganisms, the quantity and composition of SCFAs in the gut differ between patients with IBS and healthy controls, although the available data are not always in agreement. Moreover, the production of microbial SCFAs stimulates regulatory T cell differentiation and affects the balance between pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory mechanisms, suggesting that inadequate levels of SCFAs could provoke low-grade intestinal inflammation as observed in patients with IBS. Finally, studies of microbial activity show that the abundance of several SCFA-producing bacteria — including *Roseburia*, *Blautia* and *Veillonella* — is significantly increased compared with the levels of these bacteria in healthy controls, providing a potential mechanistic basis for the development of IBS symptoms.

Other carbohydrate-utilizing gastrointestinal bacteria — namely, *Dorea* spp. — show significant increases in abundance in patients with IBS; these are the main gas-producing bacteria in the human gastrointestinal tract. The overproduction of gas is associated with IBS and this phenomenon could underlie flatulence and abdominal pain. The excessive production of gas can also cause faster colonic transit in patients with IBS-D, as the colons of these patients are more sensitive to increased intestinal volume than healthy controls. Intestinal gases are efficiently removed by methanogenic archaea, which seem to be depleted in patients with IBS and are negatively correlated with the presence of loose stools. However, a significant increase in the abundance of this microbial group is characteristic of patients with slow transit and constipation, whereas the degree of the methanogenic activity could be correlated with the severity of constipation in those with IBS-C.

Another potential pathway for microbiotic involvement in IBS is protein degradation. The luminal contents of patients with IBS contain increased levels of proteases, which could be due to the increased secretion of endogenous and microbial proteases in response to protein-rich nutrition (typical of western diets), but could also be due to insufficient endogenous protease degradation by the disturbed gastrointestinal microbial community. Serine protease inhibitors are produced by many bacteria, including bifidobacteria, and their activity could prevent the excessive proteolytic activity of intestinal content in IBS. The depletion of bifidobacteria has been noted in both faecal and mucosal samples of patients with IBS, suggesting an important role for this bacterial genera in IBS. The fermentation of proteins generates numerous health-compromising substances. Among these, hydrogen sulfide is a relevant toxin that impairs epithelial metabolism and can be further converted to tetra-thionate, which stimulates the growth of tetrathionate-utilizing pathogens from Gammaproteobacteria. The abundance of several Gammaproteobacteria significantly correlates with bowel symptoms in patients with IBS and, also with the levels of the inflammatory markers interleukin 6 (IL-6) and IL-8. The presence of loose stools in IBS is protein degradation. The luminal contents of patients with IBS contain increased levels of proteases, which could be due to the increased secretion of endogenous and microbial proteases in response to protein-rich nutrition (typical of western diets), but could also be due to insufficient endogenous protease degradation by the disturbed gastrointestinal microbial community. Serine protease inhibitors are produced by many bacteria, including bifidobacteria, and their activity could prevent the excessive proteolytic activity of intestinal content in IBS. The depletion of bifidobacteria has been noted in both faecal and mucosal samples of patients with IBS, suggesting an important role for this bacterial genera in IBS. The fermentation of proteins generates numerous health-compromising substances. Among these, hydrogen sulfide is a relevant toxin that impairs epithelial metabolism and can be further converted to tetra-thionate, which stimulates the growth of tetrathionate-utilizing pathogens from Gammaproteobacteria. The abundance of several Gammaproteobacteria significantly correlates with bowel symptoms in patients with IBS and, also with the levels of the inflammatory markers interleukin 6 (IL-6) and IL-8 that are typically increased in IBS.

**Microbiota and 5-HT.** 5-HT is an important metabolite that, among other functions, regulates gastrointestinal motility; disturbed levels of 5-HT seem to be relevant for IBS pathology. As much as 90% of 5-HT is produced in enteroendocrine cells present in the gastrointestinal tract, and it has been recently shown that intestinal bacteria are needed for the stimulation of 5-HT synthesis. Attempts to identify microorganisms that are capable of 5-HT synthesis have shown that, in contrast to *Bacteroides* spp. and altered Schaedler flora (a community of eight bacterial strains), only specific spore-forming commensal bacteria have this feature. The majority of these spore-forming bacteria belong to spore-forming commensal bacteria that are capable of 5-HT synthesis.
Box 3 | Structural and functional biomarker candidates in IBS*  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Altered motility and stool behaviour</th>
<th>Mucosal permeability</th>
<th>Immune imbalance</th>
<th>Serotonin metabolism and signalling</th>
<th>Neural plasticity</th>
<th>Others</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Altered colonic transit time</td>
<td>• Reduced epithelial resistance</td>
<td>• Increased numbers of intraepithelial CD3+ lymphocytes</td>
<td>• Increased plasma levels of serotonin in IBS-D</td>
<td>• Increased nerve fibre density in the epithelium and lamina propria</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Impaired bile acid transport</td>
<td>• Reduced expression of ZO1</td>
<td>• Increased mucosal cell density and reactivity</td>
<td>• Increased enterochromaffin cell density</td>
<td>• Mostly visceral hypersensitivity, but ≤40% of patients are normosensitive or hyposensitive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Reduced expression of ZO1</td>
<td>• Increased nerve mast cell association in the lamina propria region</td>
<td>• Increased SERT expression and polymorphism</td>
<td>• Altered SERT expression and polymorphism</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Increased levels of T;2 cytokines in the blood</td>
<td>• Serotonin receptor and transporter polymorphisms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• TNFSF15 and TNF polymorphisms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Increased levels of the pattern recognition receptors TLR2 and TLR4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Increased levels of anti-flagellin autoantibodies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Increased levels of histamine and proteases in biopsy supernatants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Increased production of IL-1β and TNF by PBMCs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Increased levels of β-defensin 2 antimicrobial peptide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• PBMC supernatants evoke mechanical hypersensitivity involving cytokines and TRPA1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BDNF, brain-derived neurotrophic factor; IBS, irritable bowel syndrome; IBS-D, IBS with diarrhoea; IL-1β, interleukin 1β; NGF, nerve growth factor; PARM1, prostate androgen-regulated mucin-like protein 1; PBMC, peripheral blood mononuclear cell; PYY, peptide YY; SERT, serotonin reuptake transporter; T;2, T helper 2; TLR, Toll-like receptor; TNF, tumour necrosis factor; TNFSF15, TNF superfamily member 15; TRPA1, transient receptor potential cation channel subfamily A member 1; ZO1, zonula occludens 1. *Based on data available in REF. 244.

Brain and behaviour

IBS is narrowly defined by recurrent abdominal pain and discomfort associated with altered bowel habits in the absence of an organic origin and/or explanation of symptoms. However, given that IBS is nearly always associated with increased anxiety and patients often show comorbidities with other chronic pain and psychiatric conditions, a more widespread dysregulation of the nervous and immune systems is probably implicated.

The brain, the gut and its microbiota and the immune system show reciprocal associations in health and disease. On the one hand, the brain, via the autonomic nervous system and the HPA axis, can influence intestinal motility and fluid secretion, intestinal epithelial permeability, immune function and gut microbial composition, all of which have been reported to be dysregulated in IBS. On the other hand, several of these peripheral alterations can influence brain structure and function either developmentally or in response to acute perturbations, setting up circular regulatory loops between the gut and the brain.

In addition to its role in the bidirectional communications with the gut, the brain plays an essential part in assessing the salience of received or expected interoceptive (sensory) information, determining how much of this information is amplified or tuned down, to what degree it is modulated by affect and how much of this interoceptive information from the gut is consciously perceived (visceral sensitivity). One of the best-studied behavioural aspects of IBS-related central processing of gut-related information involves a coping strategy referred to as catastrophizing, a term that refers to a bias towards prediction of a high likelihood of worst outcomes. This measure strongly correlates with the severity of pain symptoms and is a primary treatment target in cognitive–behavioural therapy.

Multimodal brain imaging has made it possible to identify differences in functional (evoked and resting state) and structural (grey matter and white matter tracts) aspects of specific brain networks that provide a neurobiological substrate for previously observed affective and cognitive features of IBS (reviewed in REFS 92,96) (FIG. 6). These networks include the salience, attention, sensorimotor and emotional arousal networks. Profound sex-related differences in these networks have also been identified in both healthy individuals and patients with IBS (reviewed in REF 96). Cross-sectional correlations of brain networks with several clinical and non-brain biological parameters show a relationship between some of these brain signatures with IBS symptom severity and duration, a history of early adverse life events, gut metabolite and microbial composition, gene expression profiles in PBMCs and gene polymorphisms. On the basis of these neurobiological findings, a comprehensive IBS pathophysiological model can be formulated (FIG. 6), which includes alterations in the appraisal of and selective attention to interoceptive signals (salience and attentional network), central sensory processing of interoceptive information (sensorimotor network) and engagement of emotional arousal associated with experience and expectation of

to the Clostridiales class within the Firmicutes phylum. Two recent comprehensive studies revealed an increase of the Firmicutes phylum members on the account of the Bacteroidetes members in IBS. Given that the Clostridiales class within the Firmicutes phylum are the most diverse and the most abundant group of the microbiota, it is not clear if the observed feature of the IBS microbiota is associated with 5-HT-mediated pathophysiology, but this possible link should certainly be further investigated.
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gut sensations. This disease model not only identifies neurobiological correlates of well-characterized clinical and behavioural features of IBS but also provides a plausible explanation for the common coexistence of IBS with other chronic pain conditions and with increased trait anxiety.

Although these findings have identified disease-relevant brain alterations in patients with IBS, mechanistic and longitudinal studies are required to determine the causality between these factors. For example, are central sensorimotor alterations a consequence of increased signals from the gut, are they the consequence of dorsal horn sensitization by increased descending pain-facilitating signals or are they a genetically determined trait that predisposes individuals to IBS and might be present in asymptomatic relatives? The correlation of gut microbial signatures and PBMC expression profiles with structural alterations in the gut wall under inflammatory or stress conditions. Reciprocally, nerves express receptors for adenosine and ATP; both molecules are released in the gut wall under inflammatory or stress conditions. Reciprocally, nerves release factors that affect epithelial or immune cells. The best-documented effect is the activation of mast cells through the release of calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP) from extrinsic visceral afferents or enteric neurons. Conversely, acetylcholine (ACh) inhibits the activation of mast cells. Neurogenic inflammation, which is sometimes observed in animal models, is probably caused by the release of CGRP and substance P from extrinsic fibres followed by permeabilization of blood vessels. In addition, adipocytes in the lamina propria nestle against nerve fibres, and adenosine and ATP released by adipocytes can activate sensory nerve endings.

Figure 5 | Neuroimmune interactions in the gut. An intimate anatomical and functional association between enteric neurons, terminals from extrinsic nerves and cells of the enteric immune system is the basis for neuroimmune interactions in the gut wall. Functional signalling between nerves and immune cells mostly happens in the epithelial and submucosal layers where there is a high density of immune cells — in particular, T lymphocytes, mast cells and macrophages. The neuroimmune interactions are bidirectional. Enteric neurons, extrinsic nerves and glial cells respond to cytokines and mast cell mediators. Some patients with irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) have circulating autoantibodies against neuronal structures and antibodies that are generated as a response to antigen exposure from the lumen. Neurons can respond directly to antibodies through direct activation of channels or receptors. They also respond to antigens through pathways involving neuronal Toll-like receptor 3 (TLR3), TLR4 and TLR7. Direct signalling between microbiota and the host involves activation of neurons through polysaccharide A. These direct effects of luminal factors are very likely to be outnumbered by signalling between epithelial (in particular, enteroendocrine cells), immune and nerve cells. Neurons also express receptors for adenosine and ATP; both molecules are released in the gut wall under inflammatory or stress conditions. Reciprocally, nerves release factors that affect epithelial or immune cells. The best-documented effect is the activation of mast cells through the release of calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP) from extrinsic visceral afferents or enteric neurons. Conversely, acetylcholine (ACh) inhibits the activation of mast cells.
Polymorphisms or variants in several genes have been found to be associated with IBS. Genes encoding proteins involved in homeostasis of epithelial barrier function, such as cadherin 1 (CDH1) and cell division cycle 42 (CDC42), the immune system, such as IL6, IL10, TNF and TNF superfamily member 15 (TNFSF15; encoding cytokines and neuronal signal transduction) and others (such as neurexophilin 1 (NXPH1) and sodium voltage-gated channel α-subunit 5 (SCN5A)) have been replicated in several studies. In 2014, a small pilot study reported an association between IBS and a locus on chromosome 10 (containing the protocadherin 15 (PCDH15) gene) in a discovery sample from Australia that could not be replicated in additional cohorts from Sweden and the United States. Mutations in the following genes encoding proteins involved in the serotonergic system have also been shown to be associated with IBS: solute carrier family 6 member 4 (SLC6A4; also known as 5-HTTLPR or SERT), 5-HT receptor 3A (HTR3A), HTR3E and HTR4 (Ref. 101). A polymorphism in SLC6A4 has been found to be associated with altered brain responses, visualized through functional brain imaging following visceral pain stimuli in patients with IBS. Furthermore, a functional polymorphism in HTR3A could be associated with altered amygdala responsiveness, anxiety and increased symptom score in IBS. These findings underline the effect of polymorphic serotonergic and other genes in modulating gut-derived brain response in areas that process visceral perception and integrate autonomic control, salience and somatosensory and emotional central networks (Fig. 6).

Variants of genes encoding proteins that are involved in bile acid synthesis regulation (the Klotho–β (KLB) gene, the fibroblast growth factor receptor 4 (FGFRA4) gene and the G protein-coupled bile acid receptor 1 (GPRB1) gene) are associated with accelerated colon transit in patients with IBS-D (Ref. 107). These variants also correlate with the colonic transit response to chenodeoxycholic acid (a bile acid used to treat constipation) in IBS-C and to colesevelam (a bile acid sequestrant used to treat diarrhoea) in patients with IBS-D (Ref. 111).

Finally, a locus at 7p22.1 in which the genes KDEL endoplasmic reticulum protein retention receptor 2 (KDEL2) and GRID2-interacting protein (GRID2IP) localize was significantly associated with IBS risk in the index GWAS (a large twin discovery sample from Sweden) and all replication cohorts in Europe, the United States and Australia. However, the underlying molecular cause for this association finding has not been elucidated.

**Epigenetic data.** Even less insight into the role of epigenetics in IBS pathology is available compared to the genetic implications. To date, only a few miRNA studies have been performed. These studies reported on the differential expression profiles of miR-29a, miR-29b, miR-103, miR-16, miR-125b and miR-199a in the intestinal mucosa of patients with IBS-D. Upregulation of miR-29a and miR-29b was reported to accompany downregulation of the target genes encoding glutamine synthetase (GLUL) and claudin 1 (CLDNI) and...
NF-κB-repressing factor (NKRF); CLDN1 and NKRF correlated with increased gut permeability. In addition, decreased expression of miR-103, miR-16 and miR-125b correlated with the upregulation of the target genes encoding the tight junction proteins claudin 2 (CLDN2) and cingulin (CGN). In turn, a diminished miR-199 level correlated with an upregulation of TRPV1 and increased visceral sensitivity. Moreover, variants residing in miRNA target regions of the 5-HT receptor genes HTR3A and HTR4B — namely, c.*76G>A and c.*61T>C — were found to be associated with IBS-D. Both variants were reported to impair miRNA regulation and to lead to disturbed expression regulation of miR-510 and miR-16, respectively. One pilot study further indicated increased levels of circulating miR-150 and miR-342-3p in the blood of patients with IBS. Of note, miR-150 has been described to be associated with IBD and pain, whereas miR-342-3p has been predicted to target genes that are relevant for pain signalling, colonic motility and smooth muscle function.

**Diagnosis, screening and prevention**

The diagnosis of IBS relies on the patient fulfilling diagnostic criteria for IBS in conjunction with normal results on a limited number of additional tests and investigations used to rule out other diagnoses with reasonable certainty. Although a substantial proportion of clinicians prefer a process of thorough exclusion of other diseases, the current recommendation is to base diagnosis on symptoms. There is currently no valid biomarker for IBS. The choice of the tests or investigations deemed necessary to rule out other conditions varies depending on the clinical situation and the symptom profile of the patient. In the majority of cases with a typical clinical history compatible with IBS, only a limited number of laboratory tests are recommended without any need to perform invasive investigations. Screening for IBS risk and for prevention of IBS development is currently not applicable, given the heterogeneity of the disease and the multiplicity of putative pathophysiological mechanisms.

**Diagnostic criteria**

As individual symptoms have poor sensitivity and specificity to diagnose IBS, diagnostic criteria incorporating a combination of symptoms have been developed, similar to the DSM system within psychiatry. The first attempt was the so-called Manning criteria, published in 1978. In this publication, several symptoms were shown to be more common in patients with IBS than in patients with another organic gastrointestinal disease. By combining these symptoms, IBS could be discriminated from other organic gastrointestinal diseases. The experience from the Manning criteria was then used to develop the Rome Foundation criteria, with three different versions over the past 15 years (Rome I, II and III); the latest criteria, the Rome III criteria, was published in 2006. The updated Rome IV criteria are expected in May 2016. The sensitivity and specificity of the Rome criteria have been found to be 69–96% and 72–85%, respectively, in different studies, but a problem with these studies is how to define the gold standard for an IBS diagnosis.

The common feature in all of these diagnostic criteria is abdominal pain and/or discomfort associated with abnormal bowel habit (diarrhoea (loose and frequent stools), constipation (hard and infrequent stools) or alternating constipation and diarrhoea). All of these criteria require a certain duration and frequency of the symptoms to fulfil the diagnostic criteria for IBS; that is, the symptoms should be chronic and recurring. Thus, the practical clinical use of the diagnostic criteria for IBS involves demonstrating through the clinical history the presence of a combination of these symptoms for ≥3 days per month in the past 3 months, with symptom onset ≥6 months before the diagnosis (Rome III criteria). However, it should be noted that patients with some organic gastrointestinal disease also meet these diagnostic criteria and, as such, the sensitivity and specificity of these criteria are suboptimal to distinguish the different disease entities.

**Clinical features**

Besides the symptoms included in the diagnostic criteria, there are other clinical features that support a diagnosis of IBS, even though none of them is mandatory for an IBS diagnosis. One recent study found that variations in stool consistency and frequency or an unpredictable bowel pattern (‘irregularly irregular’) could be used to discriminate IBS-D adequately from organic gastrointestinal disease. Moreover, abnormal stool frequency (>3 bowel movements per day or <3 bowel movements per week), excessive straining during defaecation, urgency (having to rush to the toilet), feelings of incomplete evacuation and mucus with bowel movements support an IBS diagnosis, but are nonspecific.
The same is true for postprandial worsening or exacerbation of symptoms, which is common in IBS, but is also observed in other gastrointestinal diseases. The presence of other functional gastrointestinal diagnoses (such as functional dyspepsia) as well as reporting numerous functional non-gastrointestinal symptoms and syndromes (such as chronic fatigue, fibromyalgia, uro-gynaecological symptoms, muscle and joint pain and sleep disturbances) and psychological morbidity (such as anxiety and depression), are all common and support an IBS diagnosis.

Physical examination
A physical examination should be part of the evaluation to reassure patients and also to help exclude another organic cause of the symptoms. Admittedly, an abdominal examination, which is part of the routine examination, rarely discloses a specific diagnosis (that is, abdominal tenderness is present in various diseases), but the absence of objective findings on a physical examination has been found to support a diagnosis of IBS. A digital rectal examination is an important part of the physical examination and a useful tool to identify patients with dyssynergic defaecation, which is important to exclude in patients with constipation as well as to exclude rectal cancer. Perianal inspection should also be part of the examination to rule out perianal fistulas and other relevant anorectal pathology.

Laboratory tests
From the existing literature, it is not obvious which laboratory test to recommend in the diagnostic work-up of patients with IBS. However, very little is known about the possibility of coeliac disease in patients presenting with IBS, though this has been a well-recognized association. A recent systematic review demonstrated that C-reactive protein (CRP) levels of ≤0.5 mg per dl or faecal calprotectin levels of ≤40 μg per g essentially exclude IBD in patients with IBS symptoms.

Alarm features
Alarm features for IBS are symptoms that should raise the clinical concern of another gastrointestinal disease rather than IBS. Whether the use of alarm features improves the performance of diagnostic criteria for IBS is not totally clear. However, from a clinical point of view, it seems reasonable to use these to select patients for further diagnostic testing, even though these may be
Carbohydrate malabsorption is another differential diagnosis in patients with IBS-D\(^{151–153}\), and lactose or fructose hydrogen breath tests can be considered\(^{154,155}\) but a trial period with dietary exclusion of the suspected carbohydrate for several weeks is often used instead.

If coeliac disease is suspected, based on a positive serological test or the clinical history, an upper gastrointestinal endoscopy with duodenal biopsies should be performed. Small intestinal bacterial overgrowth has been proposed to be common in IBS, but its prevalence and clinical importance is uncertain, therefore routine clinical testing for this cannot be advocated\(^{156,157}\) especially as valid tests with adequate sensitivity and specificity are lacking.

Management

Only a fraction of patients with IBS-like symptoms (~50%) seek medical care\(^{158}\). Most of these patients will initially consult primary care physicians for their symptoms, and the factors that drive this consultation are symptom severity, especially pain, the occurrence of alarm symptoms (BOX 6) and concerns that symptoms might indicate an underlying severe disease — for example, cancer\(^{159}\). Therefore, in many cases, gastrointestinal specialist care is needed to exclude diseases that can mimic IBS symptoms — for example, by endoscopy. Once a positive diagnosis of IBS has been established, clinical management can be carried out as well by primary care physicians and at substantially lower costs\(^{160}\).

Management of IBS involves an integrated approach, including the establishment of an effective patient-provider relationship, education, reassurance, dietary alterations, pharmacotherapy and behavioural and psychological treatment\(^{161}\). Owing to the fact that ~50–70% of patients with IBS report additional somatic and psychological symptoms when they are asked\(^{161,162}\), a stepped-care approach including aspects of cognitive and interpersonal therapy is most appropriate\(^{15}\). The initial treatment strategy should be based on predominant symptoms and includes antispasmodics for abdominal pain, anti diarrhoeals for IBS-D and laxatives for IBS-C, whereas nutritional interventions and psychotherapy can be used in all subtypes.

Nutrition

Food ingestion is one of the most commonly reported factors that results in the exacerbation of symptoms among patients with IBS\(^{163,164}\). Postprandial symptoms per se and fear of their occurrence (anticipatory anxiety) contribute profoundly to reduced QOL in IBS\(^{165}\). Up until recently, food-related symptoms had received scant attention from clinical scientists, leaving patients to find their own way through the plethora of usually non-validated and untested diagnostic tests and dietary regimens, which could result in clinically relevant nutritional deficits\(^{165}\).

It has become evident that food intolerance (a physiological reaction to food allergens that is not associated with an immune response), and not classical IgE-mediated food allergy (which involves activation of the

---

**Figure 7** A diagnostic algorithm for patients with IBS. This diagram gives a schematic overview of the sequential approach to irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) diagnosis\(^{144}\). CBC, complete blood count; CRP, C-reactive protein. Figure from REF. 144, Nature Publishing Group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial evaluation</th>
<th>Further investigations based on:</th>
<th>Make a confident IBS diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Diagnostic criteria for IBS(^*)</td>
<td>• Predominant symptom</td>
<td>• Alarm features</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Other clinical features</td>
<td>• Type of alarm symptom</td>
<td>• Abnormal laboratory tests or physical examination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Alarm symptoms present?</td>
<td>• Abnormal laboratory test or physical finding</td>
<td>• Make a confident IBS diagnosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Physical examination</td>
<td>• Severe, refractory symptoms</td>
<td>• Reassure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Routine laboratory tests (CBC, CRP and serological test for coeliac disease)</td>
<td>• No alarm symptoms</td>
<td>• Explain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consider; thyroid profile, faecal calprotectin and stool analyses based on clinical presentation</td>
<td>• Normal physical examination and laboratory tests</td>
<td>• Treat according to the predominant symptom</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Invasive investigations**

In the majority of patients with symptoms compatible with IBS and normal routine laboratory tests but without alarm features\(^{144}\), no additional invasive investigations are needed and, importantly, performing investigations does not seem to improve patient satisfaction or QOL\(^{15,146}\).

Colonoscopy should be performed when alarm features prompt an investigation and when there is suspicion of an inflammatory condition in the gastrointestin al tract based on history or laboratory parameters (increased CRP or faecal calprotectin levels)\(^{17}\), or based on the indications for colorectal cancer screening in countries with population screening programmes\(^{45,46}\).

When the patient complains of watery diarrhoea as the predominant symptom, a colonoscopy with biopsies should also be considered to rule out microscopic colitis, especially in women >50 years of age\(^{45,149}\). Moreover, bile acid-induced diarrhoea has recently been found to be a very important differential diagnosis in patients with IBS symptoms with frequent, loose stools\(^{2,3}\), and a diagnostic test should be considered (75-homocholic acid taurine (\(^{75}\)SeHCAT) test or serum C4 levels)\(^{150}\). Unfortunately, these tests are not available in all centres, therefore a therapeutic trial with a bile acid-binding agent is often used as an indirect, but far from perfect, assessment of bile acid-induced diarrhoea.
immune system), is the major mechanism responsible for symptomatic responses to certain foods\textsuperscript{166-169}. This is not to say that immune responses to food or food components are irrelevant for IBS. For example, one study demonstrated that exposure of the small intestine to certain food antigens led to subtle ultrastructural changes in the duodenal mucosa of patients with IBS, but not in controls\textsuperscript{31}. Another study also reported local immune responses to gluten among a group of non-coeliac patients with IBS\textsuperscript{169}. Taken together, these observations leave the door open to the possibility that at least some patients with IBS may mount an, as yet to be defined, immunological response to certain dietary components, a response that seems to be confined to the mucosal immune system.

How does one explain food-related symptoms in IBS? Given the primacy of food ingestion as a stimulus to most gastrointestinal functions, postprandial pain and rectal urgency in IBS could simply reflect an exaggeration of a normal physiological phenomenon. Exaggerated motor responses to food and, especially to lipids, have also been demonstrated in the small intestine in IBS\textsuperscript{167}. Furthermore, tryptophan, the 5-HT precursor, and related compounds present in some foods could modulate psychological comorbidities and gastrointestinal symptoms in IBS\textsuperscript{168}. Food-related symptoms could also be mediated through interactions between our diet, the products of digestion and the gut microbiota. Products of bacterial metabolism, such as deconjugated bile salts, SCFAs and gases, could exert potent effects on colonic physiology and thereby induce symptoms.

Although patients with IBS readily incriminate specific food items as those that are especially likely to precipitate symptoms, only 11–27% of those are correctly identified when confirmed in formal, blinded food challenge studies\textsuperscript{169}. The limitations of dietary surveys and the poor reproducibility of reported food intolerances notwithstanding, some food items are reported as being more problematic: wheat, fruit and vegetables\textsuperscript{170}. The poor reproducibility of reported food intolerances and the limited availability of specific dietary challenges have thus left the door open to the possibility that at least some patients with IBS may mount an, as yet to be defined, immunological response to certain dietary components, a response that seems to be confined to the mucosal immune system.

Patients with IBS commonly consume any one or combinations of a wide variety of dietary supplements ranging from vitamins to ‘digestive enzymes’, antioxidants and essential oils. Few, if any, of these have been subjected to rigorous study. Prebiotics (non-digestible food ingredients that beneficially affect the host by selectively stimulating the growth and activity of one species or a limited number of species of bacteria in the colon) and probiotics (live microbial food ingredients that alter the microflora and confer health benefit) have also been used for decades in IBS in the absence of supportive data. Prebiotics and probiotics are now subjected to more-rigorous studies, as they might...
Drug therapy

Broadly speaking, the current therapeutic armamentarium in IBS aims to alter predominant problematic bowel habits and/or visceral pain. However, an emerging area is manipulation of the gastrointestinal microbiota.

**Antispasmodic drugs.** Pain in IBS is mediated through central and peripheral mechanisms, and is in part the result of smooth muscle spasms. The mode of action of antispasmodic drugs is probably their ability to antagonize the binding of acetylcholine to the muscarinic receptor at the neuromuscular junction, with smooth muscle relaxation as a consequence. Some studies have demonstrated a beneficial effect of otilonium bromide and hyoscine over placebo, with a number needed to treat (NNT) of four patients. An adverse effect of anti-muscarinic agents is constipation because of their strong inhibition of intraluminal fluid secretion. Accordingly, these drugs are best used in patients without constipation and should be taken 20 minutes before meals to ease postprandial symptoms. Peppermint oil, which also inhibits smooth muscle contraction albeit by calcium channel blockade, is beneficial in reducing IBS symptoms. A recent RCT in patients with IBS-D and IBS-M demonstrated that a novel formulation of peppermint oil, designed to cause a sustained release within the small bowel, was superior to placebo in causing a reduction in total symptoms.

**Low-dose antidepressants.** Antidepressants, such as tricyclic antidepressants (TCAs) or selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), are recommended by existing guidelines for the treatment of pain in patients who are refractory to antispasmodics and dietary alterations. However, these drugs are not licensed anywhere in the world for the treatment of patients with IBS, and their use is off-label. Given the lack of licensed indication, the rationale for using such drugs should be discussed in detail with patients. The exact analgesic mechanism of action of low-dose antidepressants is incompletely understood but is considered to be both peripheral, via alterations of histaminergic and/or cholinergic transmission within the gastrointestinal tract, and central, via modulation of both ascending visceral sensory afferents and central transmission. SSRIs are generally well tolerated. Adverse effects such as constipation, dry mouth, drowsiness and fatigue are reported with TCAs. TCAs may be particularly effective for treating pain in patients with IBS-D, but are less suitable for patients who have IBS-C.

**Laxatives and motility accelerants.** In those with constipation, simple laxatives such as senna and docusate are often effective in managing symptoms. However, the use of lactulose is not recommended as it is often poorly tolerated by patients with IBS because of worsening of bloating and discomfort. Linaclotide, a minimally absorbed guanylyl cyclase C agonist peptide (Fig. 8), can be used as second-line therapy after laxatives have failed in patients with IBS-C and symptoms...
have lasted for >1 year. Linaclotide has a dual action through increasing intraluminal fluid secretion thereby giving its laxation effect but also an analgesic effect via modulation of colonic nociceptors\(^{192}\), and its effects caused reduced abdominal pain, bloating and bowel symptoms in two well-designed Phase III RCTs\(^{193,194}\). Lubiprostone, a minimally absorbed, locally active, bicyclic fatty acid derivative of prostaglandin E1, activates type 2 chloride channels on the enterocytic apical membrane, thereby stimulating fluid secretion. Lubiprostone has been shown to improve global intestinal symptoms in IBS-C\(^{195}\), 5-HT\(^4\) receptor agonists (such as prucalopride), which promote gut motility through the activation of the serotonergic pathways, have been shown to be effective in increasing complete spontaneous bowel movements in patients with chronic constipation\(^{196}\).

**Antidiarrhoeals.** The \(\mu\)-opioid receptor agonist loperamide is frequently used as a first-line agent in IBS-D and improves diarrhoea by inducing peristalsis, which prolongs the gastrointestinal transit time. As loperamide does not cross the blood–brain barrier, central adverse effects are limited. Its main benefit is reducing stool frequency and defaecation urgency, and improving the consistency of the stool\(^{197}\). Eluxadoline, a mixed \(\mu\)-opioid receptor agonist and \(\delta\)-opioid receptor antagonist, has been evaluated in a Phase III RCT, although safety concerns have been expressed concerning the excess rates of pancreatitis\(^{198}\).

5-HT3 receptor antagonists, such as alosetron, ramosetron and ondansetron, are effective in the management of IBS-D symptoms. The mechanism of action of 5-HT3 receptor antagonists is complex and incompletely understood, but is considered to proceed through inhibition of the ascending excitatory component of the peristaltic reflex and of the high amplitude propagating contractions within the gastrointestinal tract\(^{199}\). However, a central effect of 5-HT3 receptor antagonists on pain cannot be excluded\(^{200}\). Safety concerns, with respect to ischaemic colitis, have been confined to alosetron, which subsequently led to restrictions in its prescription\(^{201}\). Consequently, other 5-HT3 receptor antagonists have been investigated, with ondansetron\(^{202}\) and ramosetron demonstrating efficacy in RCTs\(^{203}\).

**Manipulation of the microbiota.** Given the burgeoning evidence of the role of the microbiota in IBS, both antibiotics and probiotics have been evaluated. The non-absorbable antibiotic, rifaximin, has been demonstrated to cause a reduction in symptoms, with a NNT of approximately 11 patients, although it is not clear whether repeated courses of treatment are needed\(^{204}\). The mechanisms by which rifaximin exerts its positive effects on IBS symptoms are incompletely understood and may include modulation of the gut microbiota, but also direct effects on local micro-inflammation. Rifaximin is approved for use in the United States, but has not yet received regulatory approval in Europe. Probiotics can reduce pain and symptom severity, although recent meta-analyses have highlighted that inconsistencies in study design render definitive recommendations problematic\(^{183,184,205}\), again, it is unclear whether probiotics act on IBS symptoms through direct modulation of the microbiota, indirect via the gut immune system or otherwise.

**Others.** A proportion of patients use herbal supplements either as single herbs or in combination. Four weeks of treatment with iberogast, which is a mixture of nine plant extracts, improved abdominal pain and QOL in a double-blind RCT of 208 patients with all types of IBS\(^{206}\). Although the mechanism of action is poorly understood, it is probably multifaceted via acetylcholine, 5-HT and opioid receptors in the gastrointestinal tract\(^{207}\). Although herbal remedies represent a promising intervention, further rigorously designed larger RCTs in the subtypes of IBS are needed.

**Psychotherapy**

The biopsychosocial model of IBS suggests that abdominal symptoms secondarily influence anxiety and depression (bottom-up) and psychosocial factors influence physiological factors, such as motor function, sensory threshold and stress reactivity of the gut (top-down)\(^{208}\).

Treatment concepts that target these psychosocial factors of patients with IBS should be based on evidence-based models that take the following three components into account: altered peripheral regulation of gut function, altered brain–gut signalling and reducing psychological distress, including general hypervigilance and a general mindset of catastrophizing\(^{209}\). Such models might be helpful as a basis of patient education and a target for effective treatments. To further improve treatment programmes, we have to learn more about IBS-specific interactions and the role of stress and visceral sensitivity for clearer evidence on which group of patients might benefit from which treatment approach. In addition, it should be noted that patients with IBS often experience additional functional symptoms, pointing to the complexity of the condition\(^{11}\).

The effect of IBS symptoms on patients’ feelings of shame, fearfulness and embarrassment is well established; patients report being poorly understood by their physicians, as well as by their family members and friends\(^{210}\). Patients who experience a positive therapeutic physician–patient relationship have fewer IBS-related follow-up visits\(^{211}\).

International treatment guidelines for IBS have advocated for a graded treatment approach\(^{212,213}\). The National Institute of Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines advise that patients whose symptoms do not respond to pharmacological treatments after 12 months and who develop a continuing symptom profile (refractory IBS) should be considered for referral to cognitive–behavioural therapy (CBT), hypnotherapy (gut-directed hypnosis) or other psychological therapy, such as psychodynamic (interpersonal) therapy and mindfulness-based therapy\(^{190}\).
Validation of psychodynamic (interpersonal) therapy, gut-directed hypnosis and mindfulness-based therapy (Box 7) has only been done in a very limited number of tertiary treatment centres and the generalization of these treatment approaches is limited. Finally, mindfulness-based therapy for IBS shows some promising initial results, particularly in the subgroup of female patients with IBS \(^{215}\). Very limited data on multi-component therapies and on the combination of antidepressants and psychological treatments are available \(^{169}\). Overall, there is a lack of reports of adverse effects of psychological and behavioural treatment approaches and treatment resistance in patients with IBS. Psychological therapies have also regularly not distinguished between IBS subtypes and, thus, might have missed differential indications and advantages and disadvantages.

### Quality of life

In the field of medicine, general QOL and disease-specific QOL are distinguished. General QOL is a measure of the entire health perception of a person. Representative general QOL can be assessed using the Medical Outcome Study 36-item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36) \(^{216}\) or the EuroQOL survey \(^{217}\). SF-36 is the most popular instrument that can evaluate physical functioning, physical role, bodily pain, general health perceptions, vitality, social functioning, emotional role and mental health \(^{218}\).

Disease-specific QOL is a measure of life disturbance that is specifically caused by the disease \(^{218,219}\).

QOL in patients with IBS is greatly disturbed. Patients with IBS showed impaired general QOL with lower values on all SF-36 subscales except physical functioning than healthy controls in one study \(^{220}\), whereas lower values on the SF-36 subscales in patients with IBS (except physical functioning, physical role and emotional role) than in healthy controls were observed in another study \(^{221}\). All subscales of SF-36, except the physical functioning and physical role domains, were lower in patients with IBS than in healthy controls regardless of culture \(^{222}\). The degree of disturbance of general QOL in patients with IBS has been shown to be worse in several subcategories than in those with gastroesophageal reflux disease, diabetes mellitus or severe chronic kidney disease \(^{220}\). Finally, a study has shown that patients with IBS had more disturbed general QOL in physical role, bodily pain, general health perceptions and social functioning than non-consulters with IBS (individuals who do not seek treatment) \(^{223}\).

QOL seems to be the same among IBS subtypes. However, disease-specific QOL, as measured with the IBS-QOL in patients with IBS-D or IBS-M, was worse than in patients with IBS-C in one study \(^{224}\). In this study, increased food avoidance in patients with IBS-D and IBS-M may have been responsible for the lower QOL \(^{222}\), but there are controversial reports \(^{14}\).

In severe IBS, both gastrointestinal symptoms and psychiatic comorbidity independently contribute to disturbed QOL \(^{225}\) (Fig. 9). Another study revealed that the QOL of patients with IBS was more influenced by the extraintestinal symptoms — such as tiring easily, low in energy, the feeling that there is something

### Box 7 | Evidence-based psychological treatments

**Cognitive–behavioural therapy**  
Cognitive–behavioural therapy (CBT) is based on the assumption that irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) symptoms are a response to stressful life events, maladaptive behaviour and an inappropriate attribution of symptoms. CBT aims to modify these behaviours and thoughts through education, which consists of the explanation of IBS symptoms and the CBT model, and by identification of the psychological factors that are interacting with their physical symptoms. On the basis of these findings, patients and therapists work together to identify the potential associations between IBS symptoms and their thoughts, emotions and actions. Finally, behavioural therapy (for example, stress management) is applied \(^{215}\).

**Psychodynamic (interpersonal) therapy**  
Psychodynamic (interpersonal) therapy (PIT) aims to obtain insights into symptom development as a consequence of interpersonal conflicts or difficulties in relationships with key people. Patients are encouraged to discuss their symptoms in depth, emotional factors are explored and links between symptoms and emotional factors are identified \(^{216}\).

**Gut-directed hypnosis**  
In gut-directed hypnosis (GDH), as opposed to standard hypnotherapy, suggestions are made on how to control and normalize gastrointestinal function and metaphors are used to bring about improvement. GDH differs from other forms of psychological treatment in which therapy is provided to patients in a conscious state. After information on the effects of hypnosis is given, participants are provided with a compact disk (created by hypnotherapists) for practicing at home on a daily basis \(^{217}\).

**Mindfulness-based therapy**  
Mindfulness-based therapy (MBT) for IBS has been adapted from the mindfulness-based stress reduction programme. The basic course emphasizes the relevance of mindfulness in coping with IBS-related symptoms and perceptions. With a range of behavioural and cognitive techniques, MBT promotes sensory versus emotional processing of interoceptive signals and counteracts catastrophizing as a maladaptive cognitive coping style \(^{218}\).
seriously wrong with their body, feeling tense, feeling nervous, feeling hopeless, difficulty sleeping and low sexual interest — than by gastrointestinal symptoms. The psychological and psychosocial dimensions of food ingestion might also have a role. Eating with family and friends is probably the most common form of social interaction worldwide. An inability to participate in such a fundamental component of social intercourse because of a fear of pain, urgency, diarrhoea or distension occurring during or immediately after a meal can be devastating and can result in social isolation.

Systematic reviews have clarified that improvement of IBS-related pain by treatment results in better QOL in patients with IBS.

The disease-specific IBS-QOL and IBS-QOL questionnaires can measure the efficacy of treatment, especially long-term therapies. Although the SF-36 can also detect the efficacy of long-term treatment (>1 year), it is less sensitive than the IBS-QOL. Both measures struggle to detect drug or psychotherapy efficacy in the short term (<1 month), but IBS-QOL is sensitive enough to detect efficacy for mid-term (3 months) treatment. A therapeutic gain of ≥14 points in IBS-QOL denotes a clinically meaningful change. Even if primary end points based on cardinal symptoms of IBS are similar between treatments, a treatment resulting in better QOL may be preferred by patients over another treatment that does not improve QOL.

**Outlook**

The field of research into IBS has expanded considerably over the past decade with many new studies, in part driven by the development of new therapeutic agents. This trajectory seems likely to continue as patients with IBS account for a substantial proportion of all gastrointestinal consultations, and many questions in the field remain unanswered.

**Patient stratification and biomarkers**

Many classes of drugs have been evaluated by RCTs in IBS and these have often produced disappointingly small differences from placebo. These small differences conceal the fact that some patients benefit from the drugs.

---

**Table 1** Evidence-based psychological treatments for IBS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Psychological treatment approach</th>
<th>Number of studies (n of participants)</th>
<th>Main findings</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CBT</strong></td>
<td>18 RCTs (1,380)</td>
<td>• Symptom score: medium-to-large significant pooled effect size (0.67)</td>
<td>CBT was superior to waiting lists, basic support or medical treatment alone at the end of treatment but not superior to other psychological treatments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PIT</strong></td>
<td>2 RCTs (273)</td>
<td>Both studies compared PIT with ‘supportive listening’ applied by the same therapist. Compared with controls:</td>
<td>PIT is less well standardized in terms of its performance (that is, duration, setting and phases)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GDH</strong></td>
<td>7 RCTs (452)</td>
<td>• 6 of 7 RCTs reported a significant reduction (all P &lt; 0.05) in overall gastrointestinal symptoms compared with supportive therapy only</td>
<td>Very few professionals are trained for the specific implementation of GDH and therefore their services can be difficult to access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MBT</strong></td>
<td>2 RCTs (79)</td>
<td>Women showed greater reductions of symptoms compared with a control group immediately after training (26.4% versus 6.2%; P = 0.006) and at 3 months follow-up (38.2% compared with 11.8%; P = 0.001)</td>
<td>In another RCT, the IBS symptom severity in the mindfulness-based stress reduction group was not retained at 6 months follow-up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relaxation</td>
<td>6 RCTs (255)</td>
<td>Overall, no benefit of relaxation training or therapy in IBS was detected in the RCTs</td>
<td>The field of studies on relaxation techniques is diverse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSHs</td>
<td>10 RCTs (886)</td>
<td>• Compared with control conditions, a moderate effect size on symptom severity (0.72) and a large effect size on the increase of patients’ QOL (0.84) was found</td>
<td>GSHs might be an easily accessible and a cost-effective treatment alternative. However, there is a wide heterogeneity and variance in its performance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The NNT data are based on Ford et al.\(^{24}\). CBT, cognitive–behavioural therapy; GDH, gut-directed hypnosis; GSH, guided self-help intervention; IBS, irritable bowel syndrome; MBT, mindfulness-based therapy; NNT, number needed to treat; OR, odds ratio; PIT, psychodynamic (interpersonal) therapy; QOL, quality of life; RCT, randomized controlled trial. *See REF. 245. ‡Effect size (for example, Cohen’s d): effect sizes of 0.2–0.5 are regarded as small, between 0.5 and 0.8 as moderate and >0.8 as large. •Methods and techniques applied are progressive muscle relaxation, biofeedback and transcendental or yoga meditations.
**Figure 9 | Concept of multifactorial quality-of-life effects in IBS.** The genome and epigenome partially determine (‘filter’) the response of an individual to external stressors (psychosocial factors) and internal stressors (ingested food or microbiota). These, together with social support, appraisal, emotion and coping behaviours against stressors, determine the stress response affecting the brain–gut interactions. This response might involve regional brain activation, changes in autonomic and neuroendocrine function, which might lead to many of the clinical manifestations observed in irritable bowel syndrome (IBS), including visceral hypersensitivity, alteration in gastrointestinal motility, increased mucosal permeability and low-grade inflammation. These gastrointestinal symptoms and other extra-intestinal manifestations (such as multiple somatic symptoms and psychiatric comorbidities) impair the quality of life (QOL) of patients with IBS.

Proper stratification of patients by relevant underlying disease mechanism has been an issue, therefore many trials use unselected patients with IBS, independent of the underlying disease mechanisms and clinical presentations. The use of 5-HT receptor-modulating drugs has taught the research community that restricting 5-HT3 receptor antagonists to patients without constipation improved their effectiveness with significant differences from placebo, owing to the fact that 5-HT3 receptor antagonists slow transit and aggravate constipation. However, RCTs rarely measure transit as a requirement for trial entry, which depends on symptoms recorded in daily symptom diaries. The use of more-objective biomarkers to select patients for RCTs would be expected to improve the effect size and reduce the number needed to test to show a significant difference from placebo.

The lack of reproducible, widely available biomarkers that reflect the targets of ‘older’ drugs has been a considerable limitation. Antispasmodics are a good example of such drugs that have fallen out of favour because we cannot reliably identify those with excessive motor activity who might be expected to respond. Future novel non-invasive motility assessments, such as MRF231, capsule endoscopy232 and the pressure-sensitive, temperature-sensitive and pH-sensitive SmartPill (Given Imaging Ltd, Yqneam, Israel)233 (which can measure intestinal contractions), hold the possibility of identifying such patients in the future.

Although individual genetic markers seem likely to be associated with only quite modest increases in risk for IBS, they might be important predictors of drug sensitivity in particular pathways. 5-HT3 receptor antagonists are good examples of drugs with a wide range of sensitivities such that effective doses for one patient can produce unacceptable constipation in another. This finding may be due to a combination of important functional polymorphisms in genes involved in 5-HT synthesis (tryptophan hydroxylase 1 (TPH1)), those involved in 5-HT reuptake via the 5-HT transporter (SLC6A4) and polymorphisms in the 5-HT3 receptor genes (which alter sensitivity). Several small studies have suggested significant differences in responder status to one 5-HT3 receptor antagonist, ramosetron, according to polymorphisms in TPH1 (REF. 234) and to another 5-HT3 receptor antagonist, alosetron, according to polymorphisms in SLC6A4 (REF. 235). However, these studies are underpowered and have not yet been reproduced. By analogy with other complex disorders,236 the effect of any one individual polymorphism may be limited but combining polymorphisms that predict low 5-HT production with rapid uptake and low receptor sensitivity would be expected to be associated with higher odds ratios for success of 5-HT manipulation. Future studies should be powered to examine this notion such that the dose can be tailored to individual patients. Similarly, polymorphisms in the FGFR1–FGFR4 pathway, which controls bile acid synthesis107,108, influence colonic transit and should be explored to see if different combinations alter sensitivity to bile acid sequestrants or bile acid transporter inhibitors.

**Mode of action of food intolerances**

Dietary restrictions such as low FODMAP diets (BOX 5) are another example in which implementation of an effective treatment is hampered by lack of biomarkers to predict response or reliably identify the key component (or components) of food that are responsible for symptoms. Although poorly absorbed fermentable carbohydrates can undoubtedly cause symptoms in some patients, visceral sensitivity is the key to why some individuals experience symptoms and some do not. In the case of lactose malabsorption, no trial of lactose exclusion in IBS has used measures of sensitivity to stratify patients. While rectal barostat tests to assess visceral sensitivity are difficult, although not impossible to standardize across centres, alternatives might be to use simple cutaneous pressure or thermal stimulation. More remotely, somatization questionnaires concerning non-gastrointestinal symptoms such as headache, backache, dyspnoea and palpitations have been shown to correlate, albeit weakly, with rectal distension pressure thresholds for pain.
Key questions to be addressed in future research

Can we characterize the functional effects of changes in microbiota to improve efficacy of manipulation of the microbiota as a novel therapy? Possible studies are:
- Randomized controlled trials of fermentable oligosaccharides, disaccharides, monosaccharides and polyols (FODMAP) intervention with assessment of changes in microbiota
- Effect of placebo-controlled diets on faecal or serum bacterial metabolites

The physical form of food is another key variable whose importance is yet to be defined. Many of the dietary components implicated in IBS symptoms are actually consumed as solids and hence delivered into the duodenum more slowly after trituration by antral contractions. The rapid entry of osmotically active poorly absorbed substrates — mainly in liquid form — such as lactose in a patient with lactose malabsorption or mannitol in healthy volunteers result in a rapid influx of water into the small intestine, which probably stimulates transit and rapid delivery into the colon. This leads to the virtually instantaneous generation of gas, mainly hydrogen, given that the microbiota are unable to fully metabolize the sudden excess of substrate. Furthermore, distension of the ascending colon generates propulsive colonic motility, which a sensitized individual may experience as cramps; a slower delivery in a solid matrix may be better tolerated. Future studies should define how the physical form of FODMAPs alters their tolerability, which would allow a less restrictive diet that may be easier to follow and, hence, more widely adopted than at present.

Functional effect of changes in microbiota

Many studies have found profound differences in the microbiota of selected patients with IBS, but the agreement on the involved species between studies is poor. Given the very large number of different species that have overlapping metabolic capabilities and functional effects, focusing on function may be more helpful than just identifying the species present.

Analysis of urine and stool metabolites, including bile acids and endogenous tryptase, may provide simpler biomarkers of function that could predict responsiveness to microbiota manipulation. Thus, low levels of butyrate, a SCFA, might encourage the provision of prebiotics that favour butyrate-producing bacteria, such as *Eubacterium rectale* and *Roseburia cecocilla*. Future studies should also take into account the important role of transit time and its variability. The challenge of rapid transit favours organisms with either enhanced growth capacity or those that adhere to the mucosa to deal with rapid flux within the colon, although, these results need to be replicated and studied in more detail to enable dissection of the extent to which differences in microbiota are the cause or the effect of rapid transit. Better insight might also enable the tailoring of diet to the existing microbiota in a patient, based on their metabolic capabilities and response to a substrate provided in the diet.

2. This meta-analysis covers epidemiological population-based data across 90 studies in 33 countries worldwide and reports not only the pooled prevalences but also several risk factors common to all studies.


This narrative summary reviews state-of-the-art knowledge on the role of gut microbiota and its influence through dietary, probiotic and pharmacological means.


This narrative review summarizes the current knowledge on brain-gut networks in relation to genotoxic, gastrointestinal-, immune- and gut microbiota-related parameters and develops a novel model of their importance in IBS.

Gut microbiota, the diverse and complex community of microorganisms residing in the gastrointestinal tract, has a significant impact on gut health and function. Alterations in the gut microbiota composition have been linked to various gastrointestinal disorders, including irritable bowel syndrome (IBS), and have become a critical area of research.

Several studies have investigated the role of gut microbiota in IBS, focusing on the potential mechanisms underlying the clinical symptoms. These studies have highlighted the importance of understanding the interaction between the gut microbiota and brain-gut networks.

One of the key findings is the role of microbiota in regulating gut motility. Gut motility is a critical aspect of IBS, and studies have shown that alterations in gut microbiota composition can affect motor function. This highlights the importance of understanding the interplay between microbiota and motor function in IBS.

Moreover, the gut microbiota has been implicated in the modulation of the immune system, which is another key aspect in IBS. The gut microbiota interacts with the immune system to maintain gut health and function, and disruptions in this interaction can lead to the development of gastrointestinal symptoms.

Another important aspect is the role of the gut microbiota in regulating the central nervous system (CNS). The CNS and gut microbiota are connected through the gut-brain axis, which plays a crucial role in the regulation of gut function and motility. Studies have shown that alterations in the gut microbiota can affect CNS function, leading to changes in gut motility and associated symptoms.

Understanding the role of the gut microbiota in IBS requires a comprehensive approach that integrates insights from microbiology, immunology, and neuroscience. This narrative review provides an overview of the current knowledge on the role of gut microbiota in IBS, highlighting the need for further research to fully understand the complex interactions between microbiota, gut motility, and CNS function.

Looking ahead, future research should focus on developing novel therapeutic strategies that target the gut microbiota to improve gut health and function in patients with IBS. This will require a multidisciplinary approach that integrates insights from microbiology, immunology, neuroscience, and gastroenterology.

In conclusion, the gut microbiota plays a pivotal role in the pathophysiology of IBS. Understanding the complex interactions between microbiota, gut motility, and CNS function is crucial for developing effective therapeutic strategies for this prevalent and debilitating condition.
In this paper, the data compare a diet low in FODMAPs to traditional dietary advice: a randomized controlled trial. Gastroenterology 146, 1556–1565 (2014).


This population-based, nested-case–control study compares patients with functional dyspepsia and patients with both diagnoses to healthy control individuals for health-related QOL (SF-36) and reports that most of the determinants of QOL are psychosocial in nature.
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